arXiv:1701.02406v1 [math.QA] 10 Jan 2017

Basis of Nichols Braided Lie Algebras

Weicai Wu [a] and Jing Wang [b]

a. Department of Mathematics, Zhejiang University

Hangzhou 310007, P.R. China

b. Fachbereich Mathematik und Informatik, Philipps-Universität Marburg,

Hans Meerweisse, 35032, Marburg, Germany

Emails: weicaiwu@hnu.edu.cn(WC); wang_jing619@163.com (JW)

Abstract

We give a basis of Nichols braided Lie algebras $\mathfrak{L}(V)$ while $\mathfrak{B}(V)$ is Nichols algebra of diagonal type with $\dim V = n \geq 2$ and the generalized Dynkin diagram of V with $p_{ii} \neq 1$ for all $1 \leq i \leq n$. Furthermore, we obtain the dimensions of Nichols braided Lie algebras $\mathfrak{L}(V)$ if V is a connected finite Cartan type with Cartan matrix $(a_{ij})_{n\times n}$.

2010 Mathematics Subject Classification: 16W30, 22E60, 11F23

Keywords: Nichols Lie algebra, Nichols algebra, Nichols braided Lie algebra.

1 Introduction

The question of finite-dimensionality of Nichols algebras dominates an important part of the recent developments in the theory of (pointed) Hopf algebras(see e.g. [AHS08, AS10, He05, He06a, He06b, WZZ15a, WZZ15b]. The interest in this problem comes from the lifting method by Andruskiewitsch and Schneider to classify finite dimensional (Gelfand-Kirillov) pointed Hopf algebras, which are generalizations of quantized enveloping algebras of semi-simple Lie algebras.

The classification of arithmetic root systems is obtained in [He05] and [He06a]. It is proved in [WZZ15b] that Nichols algebra $\mathfrak{B}(V)$ is finite-dimensional if and only if Nichols braided Lie algebra $\mathfrak{L}(V)$ is finite-dimensional.

In this paper we focus on the Nichols algebra which are finite dimension. Such finite-dimensional Nichols algebras play a fundamental role in various subjects such as pointed Hopf algebras and logarithmic quantum field theories.

Here are the main results of this paper. We give a basis of Nichols braided Lie algebras $\mathfrak{L}(V)$ while $\mathfrak{B}(V)$ is Nichols algebra of diagonal type with $\dim V = n \geq 2$ and the generalized Dynkin diagram of V with $p_{ii} \neq 1$ for all $1 \leq i \leq n$. Furthermore, we obtain the dimensions of Nichols braided Lie algebras $\mathfrak{L}(V)$ if V is a connected finite Cartan type with Cartan matrix $(a_{ij})_{n\times n}$.

2 Preliminaries

Let $\mathfrak{B}(V)$ be the Nichols algebra generated by vector space V. Throughout this paper braided vector space V is of diagonal type with basis $x_1, x_2, \cdots, x_n$ and $C(x_i \otimes x_j) = p_{ij}x_j \otimes x_i$, $n > 1$ without special announcement. Define linear map p from $\mathfrak{B}(V) \otimes \mathfrak{B}(V)$ to F such that $p(u \otimes v) = \chi(deg(u), deg(v))$, for any homogeneous element $u, v \in \mathfrak{B}(V)$. For convenience, $p(u \otimes v)$ is denoted by p_{uv}.

Denote $\mathrm{ord}(p_{uu})$ the order of p_{uu} with respect to multiplication. Let $|u|$ denote length of homogeneous element $u \in \mathfrak{B}(V)$. Let $D =: \{[u] \mid [u] \text{ is a hard super-letter }\}$, $\Delta^+(\mathfrak{B}(V)) := \{\deg(u) \mid [u] \in D\}$, $\Delta(\mathfrak{B}(V)) := \Delta^+(\mathfrak{B}(V)) \cup \Delta^-(\mathfrak{B}(V))$, which is called the root system of V. If $\Delta(\mathfrak{B}(V))$ is finite, then it is called an arithmetic root system. Let $\mathfrak{L}(V)$ denote the braided Lie algebras generated by V in $\mathfrak{B}(V)$ under Lie operations $[x, y] = yx - p_{yx}xy$, for any homogeneous elements $x, y \in \mathfrak{B}(V)$. $(\mathfrak{L}(V), [\ ])$ is called Nichols braided Lie algebra of V. The other notations are the same as in [WZZ15a].

Recall the dual $\mathfrak{B}(V^*)$ of Nichols algebra $\mathfrak{B}(V)$ of rank n in [He05, Section 1.3]. Let y_i be a dual basis of x_i. $\delta(y_i) = g_i^{-1} \otimes y_i$, $g_i \cdot y_j = p_{ij}^{-1}y_j$ and $\Delta(y_i) = g_i^{-1} \otimes y_i + y_i \otimes 1$. There exists a bilinear map $< \cdot, \cdot >$: $(\mathfrak{B}(V^*)\#FG) \times \mathfrak{B}(V) \longrightarrow \mathfrak{B}(V)$ such that

$< y_i, uv >=< y_i, u > v + g_i^{-1}.u < y_i, v >$ and $< y_i, < y_j, u >>=< y_iy_j, u >$
for any $u, v \in \mathfrak{B}(V)$. Furthermore, for any $u \in \oplus_{i=1}^{\infty}\mathfrak{B}(V)_{(i)}$, one has that $u = 0$ if and only if $< y_i, u >= 0$ for any $1 \leq i \leq n$.

We have the braided Jacobi identity as follows:

$$[[u, v], w] = [u, [v, w]] + p_{vw}^{-1}[[u, w], v] + (p_{wv} - p_{vw}^{-1})v \cdot [u, w]. \tag{1}$$

$$[u, v \cdot w] = p_{wu}[uv] \cdot w + v \cdot [uw]. \tag{2}$$

Throughout, $\mathbb{Z} =: \{x \mid x \text{ is an integer}\}$. $\mathbb{R} =: \{x \mid x \text{ is a real number}\}$. $\mathbb{N}_0 =: \{x \mid x \in \mathbb{Z}, x \geq 0\}$. $\mathbb{N} =: \{x \mid x \in \mathbb{Z}, x > 0\}$. F denotes the base field, which is an algebraic closed field with characteristic zero. $F^* = F\backslash\{0\}$. S_n denotes symmetric group, $n \in \mathbb{N}$. For any set X, $\mid X \mid$ is the cardinal of X. $int(a)$ means the biggest integer not greater than $a \in \mathbb{R}$.

3 Main results

For any homogeneous element $u \in \mathfrak{B}(V)$, we denote $d(u)$ by the subset of $\{x_1, x_2, \cdots, x_n\}$ which generates u. We say that $d(u)$ is connected if for all $i, j \in d(u)$ there exists $s \in \mathbb{N}$ and a sequence $\{i = i_0, i_1, \cdots, i_s = j\}$ of elements of $d(u)$ such that p_{ij} satisfy the conditions $p_{i_l,i_{l+1}}p_{i_{l+1},i_l} \neq 1$ for all $l \in \{0, 1, \cdots, s-1\}$.

Let $h_i \in \{x_1, x_2, \cdots, x_n\}, \forall i \in \mathbb{N}$. If $d(h_1 \cdots h_m) = \{x_{j_1}, \cdots, x_{j_t}\}, 1 \leq j_1 < \cdots < j_t \leq n$. Set $d_{j_k}(h_1 \cdots h_m) := \{i \in \{1, \cdots, m\} \mid h_i = x_{j_k}\}$ for all $k \in \{1, \ldots, t\}$. It is clear $\sum_{k=1}^{t} \mid d_{j_k}(h_1 \cdots h_m) \mid = m$.

Lemma 3.1. *If* $ord(p_{x_{j_r},x_{j_r}}) > \mid d_{j_r}(h_1 \cdots h_m) \mid$ *for all* $r \in \{1, \ldots, m\}$, *then* $h_1 \cdots h_m \neq 0$.

Proof. We show this by induction on $\mid d(h_1 \cdots h_m) \mid = t$. If $x_k \in d(h_1 \cdots h_m)$, assume that $\mid d_k(h_1 \cdots h_m) \mid = l$, denote $h_1 \cdots h_{k_1-1}h_{k_1}h_{k_1+1} \cdots h_{k_2-1}h_{k_2}h_{k_2+1} \cdots h_{k_l-1}h_{k_l}h_{k_l+1} \cdots h_m := u_1x_ku_2x_k \cdots u_lx_ku_{l+1}$ for $h_{k_i} = x_k, 1 \leq i \leq l$. we know if $k_1 = 1$, then $u_1 = \emptyset$, if $k_i = k_{i-1} + 1$, then $u_i = \emptyset$, if $k_l = m$, then $u_{l+1} = \emptyset$.

If $t = 1$, we obtain $h_1 \cdots h_m \neq 0$ by [He05, Lemma 1.3.3(i)].

If $t > 1$, we need to prove $< y_k^l, u_1x_ku_2x_k \cdots u_lx_ku_{l+1} >$
$= (1 + p_{kk}^{-1})(1 + p_{kk}^{-1} + p_{kk}^{-2}) \cdots (1 + p_{kk}^{-1} + \cdots + p_{kk}^{-l+1})p_{k,u_1}^{-1}p_{k,u_1u_2}^{-1} \cdots p_{k,u_1u_2\cdots u_l}^{-1}u_1u_2 \cdots u_{l+1}$:
we show this by induction on l: If $l = 1$, $< y_k, u_1x_ku_2 >= p_{k,u_1}^{-1}u_1u_2$. If $l > 1$, then
$< y_k, u_1x_ku_2x_k \cdots u_{l+1}x_ku_{l+2} >$
$= p_{k,u_1}^{-1}\underline{u_1u_2}x_k \cdots u_{l+1}x_ku_{l+2} + p_{kk}^{-1}p_{k,u_1u_2}^{-1}u_1x_k\underline{u_2u_3} \cdots u_{l+1}x_ku_{l+2} + \cdots$
$+p_{kk}^{-l}p_{k,u_1u_2\cdots u_{l+1}}^{-1}u_1x_ku_2x_k \cdots x_k\underline{u_{l+1}u_{l+2}}.$
$< y_k^{l+1}, u_1x_ku_2x_k \cdots u_{l+1}x_ku_{l+2} >=< y_k^l, < y_k, u_1x_ku_2x_k \cdots u_{l+1}x_ku_{l+2} >>$
$= p_{k,u_1}^{-1}(1 + p_{kk}^{-1}) \cdots (1 + p_{kk}^{-1} + \cdots + p_{kk}^{-l+1})p_{k,\underline{u_1u_2}}^{-1}p_{k,\underline{u_1u_2}u_3}^{-1} \cdots p_{k,\underline{u_1u_2}u_3\cdots u_{l+1}}^{-1}u_1u_2 \cdots u_{l+2}$
$+p_{kk}^{-1}p_{k,u_1u_2}^{-1}(1 + p_{kk}^{-1}) \cdots (1 + p_{kk}^{-1} + \cdots + p_{kk}^{-l+1})p_{k,u_1}^{-1}p_{k,u_1\underline{u_2u_3}}^{-1} \cdots p_{k,u_1\underline{u_2u_3}\cdots u_{l+1}}^{-1}u_1u_2 \cdots u_{l+2}$
$+\cdots$
$+p_{kk}^{-l}p_{k,u_1u_2\cdots u_{l+1}}^{-1}(1 + p_{kk}^{-1}) \cdots (1 + p_{kk}^{-1} + \cdots + p_{kk}^{-l+1})p_{k,u_1}^{-1}p_{k,u_1u_2}^{-1} \cdots p_{k,u_1u_2\cdots u_l}^{-1}u_1u_2 \cdots u_{l+2}$
$= (1+p_{kk}^{-1}) \cdots (1+p_{kk}^{-1}+\cdots+p_{kk}^{-l+1})(1+p_{kk}^{-1}+\cdots+p_{kk}^{-l})p_{k,u_1}^{-1} \cdots p_{k,u_1u_2u_3\cdots u_{l+1}}^{-1}u_1u_2 \cdots u_{l+2}.$

We know $\mid d(u_1u_2 \cdots u_{l+1}) \mid = t - 1$, then $u_1u_2 \cdots u_{l+1} \neq 0$ by induction hypotheses, $(1+p_{kk}^{-1})(1+p_{kk}^{-1}+p_{kk}^{-2}) \cdots (1+p_{kk}^{-1}+\cdots+p_{kk}^{-l+1}) \neq 0$ since $ord(p_{x_k,x_k}) > \mid d_k(h_1 \cdots h_m) \mid = l$. Hence $u_1x_ku_2x_k \cdots u_lx_ku_{l+1} \neq 0$, completing the proof. □

Lemma 3.2. *Assumed that* u, v, w *are homogeneous elements in* $\mathfrak{L}(V)$. *Let* a, b, c, d, e, f *denote* $1-p_{wv}p_{vw}, 1-p_{uw}p_{wu}, 1-p_{uv}p_{vu}, 1-p_{uv}p_{vu}p_{uw}p_{wu}, 1-p_{uv}p_{vu}p_{wv}p_{vw}, 1-p_{wv}p_{vw}p_{uw}p_{wu}$, *respectively. If* $R = \{r | r \in \{uv, uw, vw\}$ *and* $r \in \mathfrak{L}(V)\}$, $T = \{t | t \in \{a, b, c\}$ *and* $t \neq 0\}$, *then (i)* $uvw, uwv, vwu, vuw, wuv, wvu \in \mathfrak{L}(V)$ *when* $|R| \geq 2$ *and* $|T| \neq 0$. *(ii) ([WZZ15b, Lem.3.1])* $uvw, uwv, vwu, vuw, wuv, wvu \in \mathfrak{L}(V)$ *when* $|T| \geq 2$.

Proof. (i) Assumed that $|R| \geq 2$ and $|T| \neq 0$. Without loss of generality, we let $uv, uw \in \mathfrak{L}(V)$. If $vuw \notin \mathfrak{L}(V)$, then $e = 0, f = 0$ by [WZZ15b, Lemma 3.2(i)] and [WZZ15a,

Lemma 4.12]. If $a = 0$, then $b = 0, c = 0$. this is a contradiction to $|T| \neq 0$; If $a \neq 0$, then $b \neq 0, c \neq 0$. Thus one obtains a contradiction to [WZZ15b, Lemma 3.1]. Then we know $vuw \in \mathfrak{L}(V)$, similarly, $wuv \in \mathfrak{L}(V)$. And we find $wvu, uwv \in \mathfrak{L}(V)$ and $vwu, uvw \in \mathfrak{L}(V)$ by [WZZ15b, Lemma 3.2(i)], respectively. □

Let $b(h_1h_2\cdots h_m)$ denote a set contain all nonassociative word (see [WZZ15a, Section 2]) with brackets $[,]$ on $h_1, h_2, \cdots, h_m$, for example, $b(h_1h_2h_3) = \{[[h_1, h_2], h_3], [[h_1, h_3], h_2], [[h_2, h_1], h_3], [[h_2, h_3], h_1], [[h_3, h_2], h_1], [[h_3, h_1], h_2], [h_1, [h_2, h_3]], [h_1, [h_3, h_2]], [h_2, [h_1, h_3]], [h_2, [h_3, h_1]], [h_3, [h_2, h_1]], [h_3, [h_1, h_2]]\}$. For $i_1, \cdots, i_r$ are pairwise different integers, denote $T_{i_1,\ldots,i_r}$ the set which change all the subscript $1, 2, \ldots, r$ of the elements of S_r to $(i_1, \ldots, i_r)$, for example, $T_{2,4,7} = \{(2) = (4) = (7) = id, (24), (27), (47), (247), (274)\}$. It is clear $|T_{i_1,\ldots,i_r}| = |S_r|$ and $T_{1,\ldots,r} = S_r$.

Assumed that u, v are homogeneous elements in $\mathfrak{B}(V)$. u, v is called generalized commutative if $\exists p \in F^*$ such that $uv = pvu$.

Remark 3.3. *h_i, h_j is generalized commutative if $p_{h_i,h_j}p_{h_j,h_i} = 1$: $h_ih_j = p_{h_i,h_j}h_jh_i, p_{h_i,h_j} \in F^*$.*

Lemma 3.4. (i) *If $d(h_1h_2\cdots h_m)$ is not connected, then $b(h_1h_2\cdots h_m) = 0$.*

(ii) *If $h_1h_2\cdots h_m \neq 0$ and $d(h_1h_2\cdots h_m)$ is not connected, then $h_1h_2\cdots h_m \notin \mathfrak{L}(V)$.*

(iii) *If $d(h_1h_2\cdots h_m)$ is not connected, then $h_1h_2\cdots h_m \neq 0$ if and only if $h_1h_2\cdots h_m \notin \mathfrak{L}(V)$.*

Proof. (i) We show this by induction on $|h_1h_2\cdots h_m|$. $\forall w \in b(h_1h_2\cdots h_m)$, then $\exists u \in b(h_{\tau_{(1)}}h_{\tau_{(2)}}\cdots h_{\tau_{(k)}}), v \in b(h_{\tau_{(k+1)}}h_{\tau_{(k+2)}}\cdots h_{\tau_{(m)}})$, $\tau \in S_m, k \in \{1, \ldots, m\}$ such that $w = [u, v]$. If $d(u)$ or $d(v)$ is not connected, then $u = 0$ or $v = 0$ by induction hypotheses, so $w = 0$. If $d(u)$ and $d(v)$ are connected, we know $p_{h_i,h_j}p_{h_j,h_i} = 1$ for any $h_i \in d(u)$, $h_j \in d(v)$ since $d(h_1h_2\cdots h_m)$ is not connected.

(1) For $\forall h_j \in d(v)$, if $p_{\tau_{(l)},j}p_{j,\tau_{(l)}} = 1$ for $l \in \{1, \ldots, k\}$, then $[u, h_j] = 0$. We show this by induction on $|u|$. If $k = 1$, it is clear. If $k > 1$, we set $u = [u_1, u_2]$, $[[u_1, u_2], h_j] = [u_1, [u_2, h_j]] + p^{-1}_{u_2h_j}[[u_1, h_j], u_2] + (p_{h_ju_2} - p^{-1}_{u_2h_j})u_2 \cdot [u_1, h_j] = 0$ by (1) and induction hypotheses.

(2) If $p_{\tau_{(l)},\tau_{(t)}}p_{\tau_{(t)},\tau_{(l)}} = 1$ for $l \in \{1, \ldots, k\}, t \in \{k+1, \ldots, m\}$, then $[u, h_{\tau_{(k+1)}}\cdots h_{\tau_{(m)}}] = h_{\tau_{(k+1)}} \cdot [u, h_{\tau_{(k+2)}}\cdots h_{\tau_{(m)}}] = \cdots = h_{\tau_{(k+1)}}\cdots h_{\tau_{(m-1)}} \cdot [u, h_{\tau_{(m)}}] = 0$ by (2).

(3) We know $v = \sum_i k_ih_{\tau_i\tau(k+1)}\cdots h_{\tau_i\tau(m)}$ for some $k_i \in F^*, \tau_i \in T_{\tau(k+1),\ldots,\tau(m)}$, then $[u, v] = [u, \sum_i k_ih_{\tau_i\tau(k+1)}\cdots h_{\tau_i\tau(m)}] = \sum_i k_i[u, h_{\tau_i\tau(k+1)}\cdots h_{\tau_i\tau(m)}] = 0$.

(ii) and (iii) are clear by (i). □

Corollary 3.5. *If u and v are homogeneous elements in $\mathfrak{B}(V)$, $d(u) \cap d(v) = \emptyset$, $p_{ij}p_{ji} = 1$ for any $x_i \in d(u)$, $x_j \in d(v)$, then the following conditions are equivalent: (i) $u \neq 0, v \neq 0$. (ii) $uv \neq 0$. (iii) $uv \notin \mathfrak{L}(V)$.*

Proof. We know (ii)$\Longleftrightarrow$ (iii) by Lemma 3.4. (ii)$\Longrightarrow$ (i) is clear. (ii)$\Longleftarrow$ (i): assume $\mid u \mid = k$, then $\exists y_{i_1}, \ldots, y_{i_k} \in d(u)$ such that $< y_{i_1} \cdots y_{i_k}, u > \in F^*$, then $< y_{i_1} \cdots y_{i_k}, uv > = < y_{i_1} \cdots y_{i_k}, u > v \neq 0$. □

Now we assumed that $p_{ii} \neq 1$ for all $i \in \{1, \ldots, n\}$.

Proposition 3.6. *(i) If $h_1 \cdots h_{m-1} \in \mathfrak{L}(V)$ and $p_{h_k,h_m} p_{h_m,h_k} \neq 1$ for some $k \in \{1, \ldots, m-1\}$ or $h_2 \cdots h_m \in \mathfrak{L}(V)$ and $p_{h_l,h_1} p_{h_1,h_l} \neq 1$ for some $l \in \{2, \ldots, m\}$, then $h_1 \cdots h_b$ and $h_{b+1} \cdots h_m \in \mathfrak{L}(V)$ for some $b \in \{1, \ldots, m\}$ and $p_{h_a,h_c} p_{h_c,h_a} \neq 1$ for some $a \in \{1, \ldots, b\}$, $c \in \{b+1, \ldots, m\}$.*

(ii) Assumed that $h_1 \cdots h_b \neq 0$ or $h_{b+1} \cdots h_m \neq 0$ for some $b \in \{1, \ldots, m\}$. If $h_1 \cdots h_b, h_{b+1} \cdots h_m \in \mathfrak{L}(V)$ and $p_{h_a,h_c} p_{h_c,h_a} \neq 1$ for some $a \in \{1, \ldots, b\}, c \in \{b+1, \ldots, m\}$, then there exist $\tau \in T_{1,\ldots,m}, p \in F^*$ such that $h_1 \cdots h_m = p h_{\tau(1)} \cdots h_{\tau(m)}$ with $h_{\tau(1)} \cdots h_{\tau(m-1)} \in \mathfrak{L}(V), p_{h_{\tau(k)},h_{\tau(m)}} p_{h_{\tau(m)},h_{\tau(k)}} \neq 1$ for some $k \in \{1, \ldots, m-1\}$ or with $h_{\tau(2)} \cdots h_{\tau(m)} \in \mathfrak{L}(V), p_{h_{\tau(l)},h_{\tau(1)}} p_{h_{\tau(1)},h_{\tau(l)}} \neq 1$ for some $l \in \{2, \ldots, m\}$.

(iii) If $h_1 \cdots h_{m-1} \in \mathfrak{L}(V)$ and $p_{h_k,h_m} p_{h_m,h_k} \neq 1$ for some $k \in \{1, \ldots, m-1\}$ or $h_2 \cdots h_m \in \mathfrak{L}(V)$ and $p_{h_l,h_1} p_{h_1,h_l} \neq 1$ for some $l \in \{2, \ldots, m\}$, then $h_1 \cdots h_m \in \mathfrak{L}(V)$.

(iv) Assumed that $h_1 \cdots h_m \neq 0$. If $h_1 \cdots h_m \in \mathfrak{L}(V)$, then there exist $\tau \in T_{1,\ldots,m}, p \in F^*$ such that $h_1 \cdots h_m = p h_{\tau(1)} \cdots h_{\tau(m)}$ with $0 \neq h_{\tau(1)} \cdots h_{\tau(m-1)} \in \mathfrak{L}(V), p_{h_{\tau(k)},h_{\tau(m)}} p_{h_{\tau(m)},h_{\tau(k)}} \neq 1$ for some $k \in \{1, \ldots, m-1\}$ or with $0 \neq h_{\tau(2)} \cdots h_{\tau(m)} \in \mathfrak{L}(V), p_{h_{\tau(l)},h_{\tau(1)}} p_{h_{\tau(1)},h_{\tau(l)}} \neq 1$ for some $l \in \{2, \ldots, m\}$.

Proof. (i) Let $a = k, b = m-1, c = m$ or $a = 1, b = 1, c = l$.

We show (ii), (iii) and (iv) by induction on the length of $|h_1 h_2 \cdots h_m| = m$.

Assume $m = 2$. (ii) is clear. If $h_1 \neq h_2$, then (iii),(iv) follows from [WZZ15a, Lemma 4.12],[WZZ15a, Lemma 5.2], respectively. If $h_1 = h_2$, then (iii),(iv) follows from [WZZ15a, Lemma 4.3] , [He05, Lemma 1.3.3(i)], respectively.

Now let $m > 2$.

(ii) If $b = m-1$, let $\tau = id, k = a, p = 1$; if $b = 1$, let $\tau = id, l = c, p = 1$. Now assumed that $1 < b < m-1$. We denote $\tilde{p}_{u,v} := p_{u,v} p_{v,u}$ for $\forall u, v \in \mathfrak{B}(V)$.

(1) Assumed that $h_1 \cdots h_b \neq 0$, we proceed by induction over b.
We know there exist $\tau \in T_{1,\ldots,b}, p_1 \in F^*$ such that $h_1 \cdots h_b = p_1 h_{\tau(1)} \cdots h_{\tau(b)}$ with (a) $0 \neq h_{\tau(1)} \cdots h_{\tau(b-1)} \in \mathfrak{L}(V), p_{h_{\tau(k_1)},h_{\tau(b)}} p_{h_{\tau(b)},h_{\tau(k_1)}} \neq 1$ for some $k_1 \in \{1, \ldots, b-1\}$ or with (b) $0 \neq h_{\tau(2)} \cdots h_{\tau(b)} \in \mathfrak{L}(V), p_{h_{\tau(l_1)},h_{\tau(1)}} p_{h_{\tau(1)},h_{\tau(l_1)}} \neq 1$ for some $l_1 \in \{2, \ldots, b\}$ by $h_{\tau(1)} \cdots h_{\tau(b)} \in \mathfrak{L}(V)$ and induction hypotheses of (iv).

(a)$_1$. If there exists $j_1 \in \{b+1, \ldots, m\}$ such that $\tilde{p}_{h_{\tau(b)},h_{j_1}} \neq 1$, then $h_{\tau(b)} h_{b+1} \cdots h_m \in \mathfrak{L}(V)$ by induction hypotheses of (iii), and $\tilde{p}_{h_{\tau(k_1)},h_{\tau(b)}} \neq 1$ for $k_1 \in \{1, \ldots, b-1\}$. It is proved since $|h_{\tau(1)} \cdots h_{\tau(b-1)}| = b-1 < b$ and induction hypotheses.

(a)$_2$. If all $j_1 \in \{b+1, \ldots, m\}$ such that $\tilde{p}_{h_{\tau(b)},h_{j_1}} = 1$, then $h_{\tau(b)} h_{b+1} \cdots h_m = p_2 h_{b+1} \cdots h_m h_{\tau(b)}$ for some $p_2 \in F^*$ by Remark 3.3. On the other hand, we know $\tilde{p}_{h_{\tau(k_1)},h_c} \neq$

1 for some $k_1 \in \{1, \ldots, b-1\}$ by $\tilde{p}_{h_a,h_c} \neq 1$, then $h_{\tau_1\tau(1)} \cdots h_{\tau_1\tau(b-1)} h_{\tau_1(b+1)} \cdots h_{\tau_1(m)} \in \mathfrak{L}(V)$, $h_{\tau(1)} \cdots h_{\tau(b-1)} h_{b+1} \cdots h_m = p_3 h_{\tau_1\tau(1)} \cdots h_{\tau_1\tau(b-1)} h_{\tau_1(b+1)} \cdots h_{\tau_1(m)}$ for some $\tau_1 \in T_{\tau(1),\ldots,\tau(b-1),b+1,\ldots,m}, p_3 \in F^*$ by the induction hypotheses of (ii) and (iii). We know there exists $k_2 \in \{\tau(1), \ldots, \tau(b-1), b+1, \ldots, m\}$ such that $\tilde{p}_{h_{\tau(b)},h_{\tau_1(k_2)}} \neq 1$ since $\tilde{p}_{h_{\tau(b)},h_{\tau(k_1)}} \neq 1$. We obtain $h_1 \cdots h_m = p_1 h_{\tau(1)} \cdots h_{\tau(b)} h_{b+1} \cdots h_m = p_1 p_2 h_{\tau(1)} \cdots h_{\tau(b-1)} h_{b+1} \cdots h_m h_{\tau(b)}$
$= p_1 p_2 p_3 h_{\tau_1\tau(1)} \cdots h_{\tau_1\tau(b-1)} h_{\tau_1(b+1)} \cdots h_{\tau_1(m)} h_{\tau(b)}$
$= p_1 p_2 p_3 h_{\tau_1\tau(1)} \cdots h_{\tau_1\tau(b-1)} h_{\tau_1\tau(b+1)} \cdots h_{\tau_1\tau(m)} h_{\tau_1\tau(b)}$ by $h_{\tau_1(b+1)} \cdots h_{\tau_1(m)} = h_{\tau_1\tau(b+1)} \cdots h_{\tau_1\tau(m)}$ since $\tau \in T_{1,2,\ldots,b}$ and $h_{\tau_1\tau(b)} = h_{\tau(b)}$ since $\tau_1 \in T_{\tau(1),\tau(2),\ldots,\tau(b-1),b+1,\ldots,m}$. Set
$\tau' = \begin{pmatrix} 1 & \cdots & b-1 & b & \cdots & m-1 & m \\ \tau_1\tau(1) & \cdots & \tau_1\tau(b-1) & \tau_1\tau(b+1) & \cdots & \tau_1\tau(m) & \tau_1\tau(b) \end{pmatrix}$, then we obtain $h_{\tau'(1)} \cdots h_{\tau'(m-1)} \in \mathfrak{L}(V), \tilde{p}_{h_{\tau'(k)},h_{\tau'(m)}} \neq 1$ and $h_1 \cdots h_m = p_1 p_2 p_3 h_{\tau'(1)} \cdots h_{\tau'(m)}$ for some $\tau' \in T_{1,\ldots,m}, k \in \{1, \ldots, m-1\}, p_1 p_2 p_3 \in F^*$.

(b)$_1$. If there exists $j_1 \in \{2, \ldots, b\}, j_2 \in \{b+1, \ldots, m\}$ such that $\tilde{p}_{h_{\tau(j_1)},h_{j_2}} \neq 1$, then $h_{\tau_1\tau(2)} \cdots h_{\tau_1\tau(b)} h_{\tau_1(b+1)} \cdots h_{\tau_1(m)} \in \mathfrak{L}(V)$, and $h_{\tau(2)} \cdots h_{\tau(b)} h_{b+1} \cdots h_m$
$= p_4 h_{\tau_1\tau(2)} \cdots h_{\tau_1\tau(b)} h_{\tau_1(b+1)} \cdots h_{\tau_1(m)}$ for some $\tau_1 \in T_{\tau(2),\ldots,\tau(b),b+1,\ldots,m}, p_4 \in F^*$ by the induction hypotheses of (ii) and (iii). We know there exists $l_2 \in \{\tau(2), \ldots, \tau(b), b+1, \ldots, m\}$ such that $\tilde{p}_{h_{\tau(1)},h_{\tau_1(l_2)}} \neq 1$ since $\tilde{p}_{h_{\tau(1)},h_{\tau(l_1)}} \neq 1$. We obtain $h_1 \cdots h_m$
$= p_1 h_{\tau(1)} \cdots h_{\tau(b)} h_{b+1} \cdots h_m = p_1 p_4 h_{\tau(1)} h_{\tau_1\tau(2)} \cdots h_{\tau_1\tau(b)} h_{\tau_1(b+1)} \cdots h_{\tau_1(m)}$
$= p_1 p_4 h_{\tau_1\tau(1)} h_{\tau_1\tau(2)} \cdots h_{\tau_1\tau(b)} h_{\tau_1\tau(b+1)} \cdots h_{\tau_1\tau(m)}$ by $h_{\tau_1(b+1)} \cdots h_{\tau_1(m)} = h_{\tau_1\tau(b+1)} \cdots h_{\tau_1\tau(m)}$ since $\tau \in T_{1,\ldots,b}$ and $h_{\tau_1\tau(1)} = h_{\tau(1)}$ since $\tau_1 \in T_{\tau(2),\ldots,\tau(b),b+1,\ldots,m}$. Set $\tau' = \tau_1\tau$, then we obtain $h_{\tau'(2)} \cdots h_{\tau'(m)} \in \mathfrak{L}(V), \tilde{p}_{h_{\tau'(l)},h_{\tau'(1)}} \neq 1$ and $h_1 \cdots h_m = p_1 p_4 h_{\tau'(1)} \cdots h_{\tau'(m)}$ for some $\tau' \in T_{1,\ldots,m}, l \in \{2, \ldots, m\}, p_1 p_4 \in F^*$.

(b)$_2$. If all $j_1 \in \{2, \ldots, b\}, l_2 \in \{b+1, \ldots, m\}$ such that $\tilde{p}_{h_{\tau(j_1)},h_{j_2}} = 1$, then $h_{\tau(2)} \cdots h_{\tau(b)} h_{b+1} \cdots h_m = p_5 h_{b+1} \cdots h_m h_{\tau(2)} \cdots h_{\tau(b)}$ for some $p_5 \in F^*$ by Remark 3.3. On the other hand, we know $\tilde{p}_{h_{\tau(1)},h_c} \neq 1$ by $\tilde{p}_{h_a,h_c} \neq 1$, then $h_{\tau(1)} h_{b+1} \cdots h_m \in \mathfrak{L}(V)$ by induction hypotheses of (iii). We know $h_1 \cdots h_m = p_1 h_{\tau(1)} \cdots h_{\tau(b)} h_{b+1} \cdots h_m = p_1 p_5 h_{\tau(1)} h_{b+1} \cdots h_m h_{\tau(2)} \cdots h_{\tau(b)}$ and $\tilde{p}_{h_{\tau(l_1)},h_{\tau(1)}} \neq 1$. It is proved since $|h_{\tau(2)} \cdots h_{\tau(b)}| = b-1 < b$ and induction hypotheses.

(2) Assumed that $h_{b+1} h_{b+2} \cdots h_m \neq 0$, we proceed by induction over $m-b$.
We know there exist $\tau \in T_{b+1,\ldots,m}, p_6 \in F^*$ such that $h_{b+1} \cdots h_m = p_6 h_{\tau(b+1)} \cdots h_{\tau(m)}$ with (c) $0 \neq h_{\tau(b+1)} \cdots h_{\tau(m-1)} \in \mathfrak{L}(V), p_{h_{\tau(k_1)},h_{\tau(m)}} p_{h_{\tau(m)},h_{\tau(k_1)}} \neq 1$ for some $k_1 \in \{b+1, \ldots, m-1\}$ or with (d) $0 \neq h_{\tau(b+2)} \cdots h_{\tau(m)} \in \mathfrak{L}(V), p_{h_{\tau(l_1)},h_{\tau(b+1)}} p_{h_{\tau(b+1)},h_{\tau(l_1)}} \neq 1$ for some $l_1 \in \{b+2, \ldots, m\}$ by $h_{\tau(b+1)} \cdots h_{\tau(m)} \in \mathfrak{L}(V)$ and induction hypotheses of (iv).

(c)$_1$. If there exists $j_1 \in \{b+1, \ldots, m-1\}, j_2 \in \{1, \ldots, b\}$ such that $\tilde{p}_{h_{\tau(j_1)},h_{j_2}} \neq 1$, then $h_{\tau_1(1)} \cdots h_{\tau_1(b)} h_{\tau_1\tau(b+1)} \cdots h_{\tau_1\tau(m-1)} \in \mathfrak{L}(V)$, and $h_1 \cdots h_b h_{\tau(b+1)} \cdots h_{\tau(m-1)} = p_7 h_{\tau_1(1)} \cdots h_{\tau_1(b)}$
$h_{\tau_1\tau(b+1)} \cdots h_{\tau_1\tau(m-1)}$ for some $\tau_1 \in T_{1,\ldots,b,\tau(b+1),\ldots,\tau(m-1)}, p_7 \in F^*$ by the induction hypotheses of (ii) and (iii). We know there exists $k_2 \in \{1, \ldots, b, \tau(b+1), \ldots, \tau(m-1)\}$ such that $\tilde{p}_{h_{\tau(m)},h_{\tau_1(k_2)}} \neq 1$ since $\tilde{p}_{h_{\tau(m)},h_{\tau(k_1)}} \neq 1$. We obtain $h_1 \cdots h_m$
$= p_6 h_1 \cdots h_b h_{\tau(b+1)} \cdots h_{\tau(m-1)} h_{\tau(m)} = p_6 p_7 h_{\tau_1(1)} \cdots h_{\tau_1(b)} h_{\tau_1\tau(b+1)} \cdots h_{\tau_1\tau(m-1)} h_{\tau(m)}$

$= p_6p_7h_{\tau_1\tau(1)}\cdots h_{\tau_1\tau(b)}h_{\tau_1\tau(b+1)}\cdots h_{\tau_1\tau(m-1)}h_{\tau_1\tau(m)}$ by $h_{\tau_1(1)}\cdots h_{\tau_1(b)} = h_{\tau_1\tau(1)}\cdots h_{\tau_1\tau(b)}$ since $\tau \in T_{b+1,b+2,\ldots,m}$ and $h_{\tau_1\tau(m)} = h_{\tau(m)}$ since $\tau_1 \in T_{1,2,\ldots,b,\tau(b+1),\tau(b+2),\ldots,\tau(m-1)}$. Set $\tau' = \tau_1\tau$, then we obtain $h_{\tau'(1)}\cdots h_{\tau'(m-1)} \in \mathfrak{L}(V), \tilde{p}_{h_{\tau'(k)},h_{\tau'(m)}} \neq 1$ and $h_1\cdots h_m = p_6p_7h_{\tau'(1)}\cdots h_{\tau'(m)}$ for some $\tau' \in T_{1,\ldots,m}, k \in \{1,\ldots,m-1\}, p_6p_7 \in F^*$.

(c)$_2$. If all $j_1 \in \{b+1,\ldots,m-1\}, j_2 \in \{1,\ldots,b\}$ such that $\tilde{p}_{h_{\tau(j_1)},h_{j_2}} = 1$, then $h_1\cdots h_bh_{\tau(b+1)}\cdots h_{\tau(m-1)} = p_8h_{\tau(b+1)}\cdots h_{\tau(m-1)}h_1\cdots h_b$ for some $p_8 \in F^*$ by Remark 3.3. On the other hand, we know $\tilde{p}_{h_{\tau(m)},h_a} \neq 1$ by $\tilde{p}_{h_a,h_c} \neq 1$, then $h_1\cdots h_bh_{\tau(m)} \in \mathfrak{L}(V)$ by induction hypotheses of (iii). We know $h_1\cdots h_m = p_6h_1\cdots h_bh_{\tau(b+1)}\cdots h_{\tau(m)} = p_6p_8h_{\tau(b+1)}\cdots h_{\tau(m-1)}h_1\cdots h_bh_{\tau(m)}$ and $\tilde{p}_{h_{\tau(k_1)},h_{\tau(m)}} \neq 1$. Then $|h_{\tau(b+1)}h_{\tau(b+2)}\cdots h_{\tau(m-1)}| = m-b-1 < m-b$ and induction hypotheses give the proof.

(d)$_1$. If there exists $j_1 \in \{1,\ldots,b\}$ such that $\tilde{p}_{h_{\tau(b+1)},h_{j_1}} \neq 1$, then $h_1\cdots h_bh_{\tau(b+1)} \in \mathfrak{L}(V)$ by induction hypotheses of (iii), and $\tilde{p}_{h_{\tau(l_1)},h_{\tau(b+1)}} \neq 1$ for $l_1 \in \{b+2,\ldots,m\}$. It is proved since $|h_{\tau(b+2)}\cdots h_{\tau(m)}| = m-b-1 < m-b$ and induction hypotheses.

(d)$_2$. If all $j_1 \in \{1,\ldots,b\}$ such that $\tilde{p}_{h_{\tau(b+1)},h_{j_1}} = 1$, then we have $h_1\cdots h_bh_{\tau(b+1)} = p_9h_{\tau(b+1)}h_1\cdots h_b$ for some $p_9 \in F^*$ by Remark 3.3. On the other hand, we know $\tilde{p}_{h_{\tau(l_1)},h_a} \neq 1$ for some $l_1 \in \{b+2,\ldots,m\}$ by $\tilde{p}_{h_a,h_c} \neq 1$, then $h_{\tau_1(1)}\cdots h_{\tau_1(b)}h_{\tau_1\tau(b+2)}\cdots h_{\tau_1\tau(m)} \in \mathfrak{L}(V)$, $h_1\cdots h_bh_{\tau(b+2)}\cdots h_{\tau(m)} = p_{10}h_{\tau_1(1)}\cdots h_{\tau_1(b)}h_{\tau_1\tau(b+2)}\cdots h_{\tau_1\tau(m)}$ for some $\tau_1 \in T_{1,\ldots,b,\tau(b+2),\ldots,\tau(m)}$, $p_{10} \in F^*$ by the induction hypotheses of (ii) and (iii). We know there exists $l_2 \in \{1,\ldots,b,\tau(b+2),\ldots,\tau(m)\}$ such that $\tilde{p}_{h_{\tau(b+1)},h_{\tau_1(l_2)}} \neq 1$ since $\tilde{p}_{h_{\tau(b+1)},h_{\tau(l_1)}} \neq 1$. We obtain $h_1\cdots h_m = p_6h_1\cdots h_bh_{\tau(b+1)}\cdots h_{\tau(m)} = p_6p_9h_{\tau(b+1)}h_1\cdots h_bh_{\tau(b+2)}\cdots h_{\tau(m)}$
$= p_6p_9p_{10}h_{\tau(b+1)}h_{\tau_1(1)}\cdots h_{\tau_1(b)}h_{\tau_1\tau(b+2)}\cdots h_{\tau_1\tau(m)}$
$= p_6p_9p_{10}h_{\tau_1\tau(b+1)}h_{\tau_1\tau(1)}h_{\tau_1\tau(b)}h_{\tau_1\tau(b+2)}\cdots h_{\tau_1\tau(m)}$ by $h_{\tau_1(1)}\cdots h_{\tau_1(b)} = h_{\tau_1\tau(1)}\cdots h_{\tau_1\tau(b)}$ since $\tau \in T_{b+1,\ldots,m}$ and $h_{\tau_1\tau(b+1)} = h_{\tau(b+1)}$ since $\tau_1 \in T_{1,\ldots,b,\tau(b+2),\ldots,\tau(m)}$. Set
$\tau' = \begin{pmatrix} 1 & 2 & \cdots & b+1 & b+2 & \cdots & m \\ \tau_1\tau(b+1) & \tau_1\tau(1) & \cdots & \tau_1\tau(b) & \tau_1\tau(b+2) & \cdots & \tau_1\tau(m) \end{pmatrix}$, then we obtain $h_{\tau'(2)}\cdots h_{\tau'(m)} \in \mathfrak{L}(V)$, $\tilde{p}_{h_{\tau'(l)},h_{\tau'(1)}} \neq 1$ and $h_1\cdots h_m = p_6p_9p_{10}h_{\tau'(1)}\cdots h_{\tau'(m)}$ for some $\tau' \in T_{1,\ldots,m}, l \in \{2,\ldots,m\}$, $p_6p_9p_{10} \in F^*$.

(iii) Assumed that $h_1h_2\cdots h_m \notin \mathfrak{L}(V)$. For convenience, set $\tau^0 = \tau_0 = id, \tau_k \in T_{\tau^{k-1}(1),\ldots,\tau^{k-1}(m-k)}$ or $T_{\tau^{k-1}(2),\ldots,\tau^{k-1}(m-k+1)}$ or $\cdots$ or $T_{\tau^{k-1}(k+1),\ldots,\tau^{k-1}(m)}$, $\tau^k = \tau_k\tau_{k-1}\cdots\tau_1$ for $k \geq 1$. We need to prove **Assertion**$(\mathbf{j-i})$ holds for all $j-i \in \{0,\ldots,m-3\}$. Denote $A_{ij} = \{1,\ldots,m\} - \{\tau^{m-j+i-2}(i),\ldots,\tau^{m-j+i-2}(j)\}$ for all $i \leq j \in \{1,\ldots,m\}$

Assertion$(\mathbf{j-i})$: $0 \neq h_{\tau^{m-j+i-2}(i)}\cdots h_{\tau^{m-j+i-2}(j)} \in \mathfrak{L}(V)$, $\tilde{p}_{h_r,h_t} = 1$ for $\forall r \neq t \in A_{ij}$. $\tilde{p}_{h_{\tau^{m-j+i-2}(k)},h_r} \neq 1$, and $\tilde{p}_{h_{\tau^{m-j+i-2}(i)}\cdots h_{\tau^{m-j+i-2}(j)},h_r} = 1$ for some $k \in \{i,\ldots,j\}$, all $r \in A_{ij}$. $h_1\cdots h_m = p_1\cdots p_{m-j+i-2}h_{\tau^{m-j+i-2}(1)}\cdots h_{\tau^{m-j+i-2}(m)}$ for some $p_1,\ldots,p_{m-j+i-2} \in F^*$.

We prove this by induction on $j-i$.

Step 1. **Assertion**$(\mathbf{m-3})$ holds:

If $h_1\cdots h_{m-1} \in \mathfrak{L}(V)$, then $\tilde{p}_{h_1\cdots h_{m-1},h_m} = 1$ by [WZZ15a, Lemma 4.12]. If $h_1\cdots h_{m-1} = 0$, this is a contradiction to $h_1h_2\cdots h_m \notin \mathfrak{L}(V)$, then $h_1h_2\cdots h_{m-1} \neq 0$. We know there exist $\tau_1 \in T_{1,\ldots,m-1}$, $p_1 \in F^*$ such that $h_1\cdots h_{m-1} = p_1h_{\tau_1(1)}\cdots h_{\tau_1(m-1)}$ with (a)

$0 \neq h_{\tau_1(1)} \cdots h_{\tau_1(m-2)} \in \mathfrak{L}(V), \tilde{p}_{h_{\tau_1(k)}, h_{\tau_1(m-1)}} \neq 1$ for some $k \in \{1, \ldots, m-2\}$ or with (b) $0 \neq h_{\tau_1(2)} \cdots h_{\tau_1(m-1)} \in \mathfrak{L}(V), \tilde{p}_{h_{\tau_1(l)}, h_{\tau_1(1)}} \neq 1$ for some $l \in \{2, \ldots, m-1\}$ by induction hypotheses of (iv). We know $h_{\tau_1(m)} = h_m$.

(a) If $\tilde{p}_{h_{\tau_1(m-1)}, h_m} \neq 1$, then $\tilde{p}_{h_{\tau_1(1)} \cdots h_{\tau_1(m-2)}, h_m} \neq 1$ since $\tilde{p}_{h_1 \cdots h_{m-1}, h_m} = 1$. We obtain $h_{\tau_1(1)} \cdots h_{\tau_1(m-2)} h_{\tau_1(m-1)} h_m \in \mathfrak{L}(V)$ by Lemma 3.2(iii). It is a contradiction to $p_1 h_{\tau_1(1)} \cdots h_{\tau_1(m-1)} h_m = h_1 \cdots h_m \notin \mathfrak{L}(V)$. Then $\tilde{p}_{h_{\tau_1(m-1)}, h_m} = 1$ and $\tilde{p}_{h_{\tau_1(1)} \cdots h_{\tau_1(m-2)}, h_m} = 1$. We obtain $\tilde{p}_{h_{\tau_1(k_1)}, h_m} \neq 1$ for some $k_1 \in \{1, \ldots, m-2\}$ since $\tilde{p}_{h_k, h_m} \neq 1$. Then $h_{\tau_1(1)} \cdots h_{\tau_1(m-2)} h_m \in \mathfrak{L}(V)$ by induction hypotheses. $pp_1 h_{\tau_1(1)} \cdots h_{\tau_1(m-2)} h_m h_{\tau_1(m-1)} = p_1 h_{\tau_1(1)} \cdots h_{\tau_1(m-2)} h_{\tau_1(m-1)} h_m = h_1 \cdots h_m$ for some $p \in F^*$ by Remark 3.3, then $\tilde{p}_{h_{\tau_1(m-1)}, h_{\tau_1(1)} \cdots h_{\tau_1(m-2)} h_m} = 1$ by [WZZ15a, Lemma 4.12], we obtain $\tilde{p}_{h_{\tau_1(m-1)}, h_{\tau_1(1)} \cdots h_{\tau_1(m-2)}} = 1$.

(b) If $\tilde{p}_{h_{\tau_1(1)}, h_m} \neq 1$, then $\tilde{p}_{h_{\tau_1(2)} \cdots h_{\tau_1(m-1)}, h_m} \neq 1$ since $\tilde{p}_{h_1 \cdots h_{m-1}, h_m} = 1$. We obtain $h_{\tau_1(1)} \cdots h_{\tau_1(m-2)} h_{\tau_1(m-1)} h_m \in \mathfrak{L}(V)$ by Lemma 3.2(iii). It is a contradiction to $p_1 h_{\tau_1(1)} \cdots h_{\tau_1(m-1)} h_m = h_1 \cdots h_m \notin \mathfrak{L}(V)$. Then $\tilde{p}_{h_{\tau_1(1)}, h_m} = 1$ and $\tilde{p}_{h_{\tau_1(2)} \cdots h_{\tau_1(m-1)}, h_m} = 1$. We obtain $\tilde{p}_{h_{\tau_1(k_1)}, h_m} \neq 1$ for some $k_1 \in \{2, \ldots, m-1\}$ since $\tilde{p}_{h_k, h_m} \neq 1$. Then $h_{\tau_1(2)} \cdots h_{\tau_1(m-1)} h_m \in \mathfrak{L}(V)$ by induction hypotheses. $p_1 h_{\tau_1(1)} h_{\tau_1(2)} \cdots h_{\tau_1(m-1)} h_m = h_1 \cdots h_m$, then $\tilde{p}_{h_{\tau_1(1)}, h_{\tau_1(2)} \cdots h_{\tau_1(m-1)} h_m} = 1$ by [WZZ15a, Lemma 4.12], we obtain $\tilde{p}_{h_{\tau_1(1)}, h_{\tau_1(2)} \cdots h_{\tau_1(m-1)}} = 1$.

If $h_2 \cdots h_m \in \mathfrak{L}(V)$, then $\tilde{p}_{h_2 \cdots h_m, h_1} = 1$ by [WZZ15a, Lemma 4.12]. If $h_2 \cdots h_m = 0$, this is a contradiction to $h_1 h_2 \cdots h_m \notin \mathfrak{L}(V)$, then $h_2 \cdots h_m \neq 0$. We know there exist $\tau_1 \in T_{2,\ldots,m}$, $p_1 \in F^*$ such that $h_2 \cdots h_m = p_1 h_{\tau_1(2)} \cdots h_{\tau_1(m)}$ with (c) $0 \neq h_{\tau_1(2)} \cdots h_{\tau_1(m-1)} \in \mathfrak{L}(V), \tilde{p}_{h_{\tau_1(k)}, h_{\tau_1(m)}} \neq 1$ for some $k \in \{2, \ldots, m-1\}$ or with (d) $0 \neq h_{\tau_1(3)} \cdots h_{\tau_1(m)} \in \mathfrak{L}(V), \tilde{p}_{h_{\tau_1(l)}, h_{\tau_1(2)}} \neq 1$ for some $l \in \{3, \ldots, m\}$ by induction hypotheses of (iv). We know $h_{\tau_1(1)} = h_1$.

(c) If $\tilde{p}_{h_{\tau_1(m)}, h_1} \neq 1$, then $\tilde{p}_{h_{\tau_1(2)} \cdots h_{\tau_1(m-1)}, h_1} \neq 1$ since $\tilde{p}_{h_2 \cdots h_m, h_1} = 1$. We obtain $h_1 h_{\tau_1(2)} \cdots h_{\tau_1(m)} \in \mathfrak{L}(V)$ by Lemma 3.2(iii). It is a contradiction to $p_1 h_1 h_{\tau_1(2)} \cdots h_{\tau_1(m)} = h_1 \cdots h_m \notin \mathfrak{L}(V)$. Then $\tilde{p}_{h_{\tau_1(m)}, h_1} = 1$ and $\tilde{p}_{h_{\tau_1(2)} \cdots h_{\tau_1(m-1)}, h_1} = 1$. We obtain $\tilde{p}_{h_{\tau_1(l_1)}, h_1} \neq 1$ for some $l_1 \in \{2, \ldots, m-1\}$ since $\tilde{p}_{h_l, h_1} \neq 1$. Then $h_1 h_{\tau_1(2)} \cdots h_{\tau_1(m-1)} \in \mathfrak{L}(V)$ by induction hypotheses. $p_1 h_1 h_{\tau_1(2)} \cdots h_{\tau_1(m-1)} h_{\tau_1(m)} = h_1 \cdots h_m$, then $\tilde{p}_{h_{\tau_1(m)}, h_1 h_{\tau_1(2)} \cdots h_{\tau_1(m-1)}} = 1$ by [WZZ15a, Lemma 4.12], we obtain $\tilde{p}_{h_{\tau_1(m)}, h_{\tau_1(2)} \cdots h_{\tau_1(m-1)}} = 1$.

(d) If $\tilde{p}_{h_{\tau_1(2)}, h_1} \neq 1$, then $\tilde{p}_{h_{\tau_1(3)} \cdots h_{\tau_1(m)}, h_1} \neq 1$ since $\tilde{p}_{h_2 \cdots h_m, h_1} = 1$. We obtain $h_1 h_{\tau_1(2)} \cdots h_{\tau_1(m)} \in \mathfrak{L}(V)$ by Lemma 3.2(iii). It is a contradiction to $p_1 h_1 h_{\tau_1(2)} \cdots h_{\tau_1(m)} = h_1 \cdots h_m \notin \mathfrak{L}(V)$. Then $\tilde{p}_{h_{\tau_1(2)}, h_1} = 1$ and $\tilde{p}_{h_{\tau_1(3)} \cdots h_{\tau_1(m)}, h_1} = 1$. We obtain $\tilde{p}_{h_{\tau_1(l_1)}, h_1} \neq 1$ for some $l_1 \in \{3, \ldots, m\}$ since $\tilde{p}_{h_l, h_1} \neq 1$. Then $h_1 h_{\tau_1(3)} \cdots h_{\tau_1(m)} \in \mathfrak{L}(V)$ by induction hypotheses. $pp_1 h_{\tau_1(2)} h_1 h_{\tau_1(3)} \cdots h_{\tau_1(m)} = p_1 h_1 h_{\tau_1(2)} \cdots h_{\tau_1(m)} = h_1 \cdots h_m$ for some $p \in F^*$ by Remark 3.3, then $\tilde{p}_{h_{\tau_1(2)}, h_1 h_{\tau_1(3)} \cdots h_{\tau_1(m)}} = 1$ by [WZZ15a, Lemma 4.12], we obtain $\tilde{p}_{h_{\tau_1(2)}, h_{\tau_1(3)} \cdots h_{\tau_1(m)}} = 1$. It is proved.

Step 2. Assumed that **Assertion**$(\mathbf{j - i})$ hold, we prove **Assertion**$(\mathbf{j - i - 1})$ holds,

$j-i \leq m-3$:

We know $0 \neq h_{\tau^{m-j+i-2}(i)} \cdots h_{\tau^{m-j+i-2}(j)} \in \mathfrak{L}(V)$, then there exists $\tau_{m-j+i-1} \in T_{h_{\tau^{m-j+i-2}(i)},\ldots,h_{\tau^{m-j+i-2}(j)}}, p_{m-j+i-1} \in F^*$ such that $h_{\tau^{m-j+i-2}(i)} \cdots h_{\tau^{m-j+i-2}(j)} = p_{m-j+i-1} h_{\tau^{m-j+i-1}(i)} \cdots h_{\tau^{m-j+i-1}(j)}$ with (1°) $0 \neq h_{\tau^{m-j+i-1}(i)} \cdots h_{\tau^{m-j+i-1}(j-1)} \in \mathfrak{L}(V)$, $\tilde{p}_{h_{\tau^{m-j+i-1}(k)}, h_{\tau^{m-j+i-1}(j)}} \neq 1$ for some $k \in \{i, \ldots, j-1\}$ or with (2°) $0 \neq h_{\tau^{m-j+i-1}(i+1)} \cdots h_{\tau^{m-j+i-1}(j)} \in \mathfrak{L}(V)$, $\tilde{p}_{h_{\tau^{m-j+i-1}(l)}, h_{\tau^{m-j+i-1}(i)}} \neq 1$ for some $l \in \{i+1, \ldots, j\}$ by induction hypotheses of (iv). We obtain $h_1 \cdots h_m$ $= p_1 \cdots p_{m-j+i-2} p_{m-j+i-1} h_{\tau^{m-j+i-1}(1)} \cdots h_{\tau^{m-j+i-1}(m)}$. For convenience, $h'_k := h_{\tau^{m-j+i-1}(k)}$ for all $k \in \{1, \ldots, m\}$. We know $\tau^{m-j+i-1}(t) = t$ for all $t \in A_{ij}$ by the definition of $\tau^{m-j+i-1}$.

(1°) If there exists $r \in A_{ij}$ such that $\tilde{p}_{h'_\alpha, h_r} = 1$ for all $\alpha \in \{i, \ldots, j-1\}$, then $\tilde{p}_{h'_j, h_r} \neq 1$ by **Assertion**$(\mathbf{j-i})$, we know $\tilde{p}_{h'_i \cdots h'_j, h_r} \neq 1$, it is a contradiction to **Assertion**$(\mathbf{j-i})$. Thus exists $\alpha \in \{i, \ldots, j-1\}$ such that $\tilde{p}_{h'_\alpha, h_r} \neq 1$ for all $r \in A_{ij}$, we obtain $h'_1 \cdots h'_{i-1} h'_i \cdots h'_{j-1} \in \mathfrak{L}(V)$ by induction hypotheses.

Set $\{r_1, \cdots, r_\beta, l_1, \cdots, l_\eta\} = \{\tau^{m-j+i-1}(j+1), \ldots, \tau^{m-j+i-1}(m-1)\}$ with $r_1 < \cdots < r_\beta, l_1 < \cdots < l_\eta$ such that $\tilde{p}_{h'_j, h_{r_k}} \neq 1$ and $\tilde{p}_{h'_j, h_{l_\xi}} = 1$ for all $k \in \{1, \ldots, \beta\}$, all $\xi \in \{1, \ldots, \eta\}$. Then $h'_1 \cdots h'_{j-1} h'_{l_1} \cdots h'_{l_r} \in \mathfrak{L}(V)$ for all $r \in \{1, \ldots, \eta\}$ and $h'_j h'_{r_1} \cdots h'_{r_t} \in \mathfrak{L}(V)$ for all $t \in \{1, \ldots, \beta\}$ by induction hypotheses. If $\tilde{p}_{h'_j, h'_m} \neq 1$, then $\tilde{p}_{h'_1 \cdots h'_{j-1} h'_{l_1} \cdots h'_{l_\eta}, h'_m} \neq 1$ and $\tilde{p}_{h'_j h'_{r_1} \cdots h'_{r_\beta}, h'_m} \neq 1$ by **Assertion**$(\mathbf{j-i})$. Then $h'_1 \cdots h'_{j-1} h'_{l_1} \cdots h'_{l_\eta} h'_j h'_{r_1} \cdots h'_{r_\beta} h'_m \in \mathfrak{L}(V)$ by Lemma 3.2(iii), $h_1 \cdots h_m = p_1 \cdots p_{m-j+i-1} h'_1 \cdots h'_m$ $= p_1 \cdots p_{m-j+i-1} p'_1 h'_1 \cdots h'_{j-1} h'_{l_1} \cdots h'_{l_\eta} h'_j h'_{r_1} \cdots h'_{r_\beta} h'_m$ for some $p'_1 \in F^*$ by Remark 3.3. It is a contradiction. We obtain $\tilde{p}_{h'_j, h'_m} = 1$. We obtain $h'_1 \cdots h'_{j-1} h'_{l_1} \cdots h'_{l_\eta} h'_m \in \mathfrak{L}(V)$ by induction hypotheses. If $\beta \geq 1$, then $\tilde{p}_{h'_j h'_{r_1} \cdots h'_{r_{\beta-1}}, h'_{r_\beta}} \neq 1$ and $\tilde{p}_{h'_1 \cdots h'_{j-1} h'_{l_1} \cdots h'_{l_\eta} h'_m, h'_{r_\beta}} \neq 1$ by **Assertion**$(\mathbf{j-i})$. then $h'_1 \cdots h'_{j-1} h'_{l_1} \cdots h'_{l_\eta} h'_m h'_j h'_{r_1} \cdots h'_{r_{\beta-1}} h'_{r_\beta} \in \mathfrak{L}(V)$ by Lemma 3.2(iii). $h_1 h_2 \cdots h_m = p_1 \cdots p_{m-j+i-1} p'_2 h'_1 \cdots h'_{j-1} h'_{l_1} \cdots h'_{l_\eta} h'_m h'_j h'_{r_1} \cdots h'_{r_{\beta-1}} h'_{r_\beta}$ for some $p'_2 \in F^*$ by Remark 3.3. It is contradiction. Then $\beta = 0$. $\eta = m-j-1$, i.e. $\tilde{p}_{h'_j, h'_r} = 1$ for all $r \in \{j+1, \ldots, m\}$. So $\tilde{p}_{h'_i \cdots h'_{j-1}, h'_r} = 1$ for all $r \in \{j+1, \ldots, m\}$ by **Assertion**$(\mathbf{j-i})$. Assumed that there exists $\theta \in \{1, \ldots, i-1\}$ such that $\tilde{p}_{h'_\theta, h'_j} \neq 1$. If $\tilde{p}_{h'_j, h'_1 \cdots h'_{\theta-1} h'_{\theta+1} \cdots h'_{i-1} h'_i \cdots h'_{j-1} h'_{j+1} \cdots h'_m} = 1$, then $\tilde{p}_{h'_j, h'_1 \cdots h'_{j-1} h'_{j+1} \cdots h'_m} \neq 1$, $h'_1 \cdots h'_{j-1} h'_{j+1} \cdots h'_m \in \mathfrak{L}(V)$ is clear by induction hypotheses. then $h'_1 \cdots h'_{j-1} h'_{j+1} \cdots h'_m h'_j \in \mathfrak{L}(V)$ by [WZZ15a, Lemma 4.12]. $h_1 h_2 \cdots h_m = p_1 \cdots p_{m-j+i-1} p'_3 h'_1 \cdots h'_{j-1} h'_{j+1} \cdots h'_m h'_j$ for some $p'_3 \in F^*$ by Remark 3.3. It is contradiction. If $\tilde{p}_{h'_j, h'_1 \cdots h'_{\theta-1} h'_{\theta+1} \cdots h'_{i-1} h'_i \cdots h'_{j-1} h'_{j+1} \cdots h'_m} \neq 1$, $h'_1 \cdots h'_{\theta-1} h'_{\theta+1} \cdots h'_{i-1} h'_i \cdots h'_{j-1} h'_{j+1} \cdots h'_m \in \mathfrak{L}(V)$ is clear by induction hypotheses. Then $h'_\theta h'_1 \cdots h'_{\theta-1} h'_{\theta+1} \cdots h'_{i-1} h'_i \cdots h'_{j-1} h'_{j+1} \cdots h'_m h'_j \in \mathfrak{L}(V)$ by Lemma 3.2(iii). $h_1 h_2 \cdots h_m = p_1 \cdots p_{m-j+i-1} p'_4 h'_\theta h'_1 \cdots h'_{\theta-1} h'_{\theta+1} \cdots h'_{i-1} h'_i \cdots h'_{j-1} h'_{j+1} \cdots h'_m h'_j$ for some $p'_4 \in F^*$ by Remark 3.3. It is contradiction. Then $\tilde{p}_{h'_\theta, h'_j} = 1$ for all $\theta \in \{1, \ldots, i-1\}$, we obtain $\tilde{p}_{h'_\theta, h'_i \cdots h'_{j-1}} = 1$ for all $\theta \in \{1, \ldots, i-1\}$ by **Assertion**$(\mathbf{j-i})$. We know $h'_1 \cdots h'_{j-1} h'_{j+1} \cdots h'_m$ $\in \mathfrak{L}(V)$ by induction hypotheses. If $\tilde{p}_{h'_j, h'_i \cdots h'_{j-1}} \neq 1$, then $h'_1 \cdots h'_{j-1} h'_{j+1} \cdots h'_m h'_j \in \mathfrak{L}(V)$

by [WZZ15a, Lemma 4.12], $h_1h_2\cdots h_m = p_1\cdots p_{m-j+i-1}p'_5h'_1\cdots h'_{j-1}h'_{j+1}\cdots h'_mh'_j$ for some $p'_5 \in F^*$ by Remark 3.3. It is a contradiction. Then $\tilde{p}_{h'_j,h'_i\cdots h'_{j-1}} = 1$.

(2°) If there exists $r \in A_{ij}$ such that $\tilde{p}_{h'_\alpha,h_r} = 1$ for all $\alpha \in \{i+1,\ldots,j\}$, then $\tilde{p}_{h'_i,h_r} \neq 1$ by **Assertion**($\mathbf{j-i}$), we know $\tilde{p}_{h'_i\cdots h'_j,h_r} \neq 1$, it is a contradiction to **Assertion**($\mathbf{j-i}$). Thus exists $\alpha \in \{i+1,\ldots,j\}$ such that $\tilde{p}_{h'_\alpha,h_r} \neq 1$ for all $r \in A_{ij}$, we obtain $h'_{i+1}\cdots h'_jh'_{j+1}\cdots h'_m \in \mathfrak{L}(V)$ by induction hypotheses.

Set $\{r_1,\cdots,r_\beta,l_1,\cdots,l_\eta\} = \{\tau^{m-j+i-1}(2),\ldots,\tau^{m-j+i-1}(i-1)\}$ with $r_1 < \cdots < r_\beta, l_1 < \cdots < l_\eta$ such that $\tilde{p}_{h'_i,h_{r_k}} \neq 1$ and $\tilde{p}_{h'_i,h_{l_\xi}} = 1$ for all $k \in \{1,\ldots,\beta\}$, all $\xi \in \{1,\ldots,\eta\}$. Then $h'_{l_1}\cdots h'_{l_r}h'_{i+1}\cdots h'_m \in \mathfrak{L}(V)$ for all $r \in \{1,\ldots,\eta\}$ and $h'_{r_1}\cdots h'_{r_t}h'_i \in \mathfrak{L}(V)$ for all $t \in \{1,\ldots,\beta\}$ by induction hypotheses. If $\tilde{p}_{h'_i,h'_1} \neq 1$, then $\tilde{p}_{h'_{l_1}\cdots h'_{l_\eta}h'_{i+1}\cdots h'_m,h'_1} \neq 1$ and $\tilde{p}_{h'_{r_1}\cdots h'_{r_\beta}h'_i,h'_1} \neq 1$ by **Assertion**($\mathbf{j-i}$). Then $h'_1h'_{r_1}\cdots h'_{r_\beta}h'_ih'_{l_1}\cdots h'_{l_\eta}h'_{i+1}\cdots h'_m \in \mathfrak{L}(V)$ by Lemma 3.2(iii), $h_1\cdots h_m = p_1\cdots p_{m-j+i-1}h'_1\cdots h'_m$
$= p_1\cdots p_{m-j+i-1}p'_1h'_1h'_{r_1}\cdots h'_{r_\beta}h'_ih'_{l_1}\cdots h'_{l_\eta}h'_{i+1}\cdots h'_m$ for some $p'_1 \in F^*$ by Remark 3.3. It is a contradiction. We obtain $\tilde{p}_{h'_i,h'_1} = 1$. We obtain $h'_1h'_{l_1}\cdots h'_{l_\eta}h'_{i+1}\cdots h'_m \in \mathfrak{L}(V)$ by induction hypotheses. If $\beta \geq 1$, then $\tilde{p}_{h'_{r_2}\cdots h'_{r_\beta}h'_i,h'_{r_1}} \neq 1$ and $\tilde{p}_{h'_1h'_{l_1}\cdots h'_{l_\eta}h'_{i+1}\cdots h'_m,h'_{r_1}} \neq 1$ by **Assertion**($\mathbf{j-i}$). then $h'_{r_1}h'_{r_2}\cdots h'_{r_\beta}h'_ih'_1h'_{l_1}\cdots h'_{l_\eta}h'_{i+1}\cdots h'_m \in \mathfrak{L}(V)$ by Lemma 3.2(iii). $h_1h_2\cdots h_m = p_1\cdots p_{m-j+i-1}p'_2h'_{r_1}h'_{r_2}\cdots h'_{r_\beta}h'_ih'_1h'_{l_1}\cdots h'_{l_\eta}h'_{i+1}\cdots h'_m$ for some $p'_2 \in F^*$ by Remark 3.3. It is contradiction. Then $\beta = 0$. $\eta = i-2$, i.e. $\tilde{p}_{h'_i,h'_r} = 1$ for all $r \in \{1,\ldots,i-1\}$. So $\tilde{p}_{h'_{i+1}\cdots h'_j,h'_r} = 1$ for all $r \in \{1,\ldots,i-1\}$ by **Assertion**($\mathbf{j-i}$). Assumed that there exists $\theta \in \{j+1,\ldots,m\}$ such that $\tilde{p}_{h'_\theta,h'_i} \neq 1$. If $\tilde{p}_{h'_i,h'_1\cdots h'_{i-1}h'_{i+1}\cdots h'_jh'_{j+1}\cdots h'_{\theta-1}h'_{\theta+1}\cdots h'_m} = 1$, then $\tilde{p}_{h'_i,h'_1\cdots h'_{i-1}h'_{i+1}\cdots h'_m} \neq 1$, $h'_1\cdots h'_{i-1}h'_{i+1}\cdots h'_m \in \mathfrak{L}(V)$ is clear by induction hypotheses. then $h'_ih'_1\cdots h'_{i-1}h'_{i+1}\cdots h'_m \in \mathfrak{L}(V)$ by [WZZ15a, Lemma 4.12]. $h_1h_2\cdots h_m = p_1\cdots p_{m-j+i-1}p'_3h'_ih'_1\cdots h'_{i-1}h'_{i+1}\cdots h'_m$ for some $p'_3 \in F^*$ by Remark 3.3. It is contradiction. If $\tilde{p}_{h'_i,h'_1\cdots h'_{i-1}h'_{i+1}\cdots h'_jh'_{j+1}\cdots h'_{\theta-1}h'_{\theta+1}\cdots h'_m} \neq 1$, $h'_1\cdots h'_{i-1}h'_{i+1}\cdots h'_jh'_{j+1}\cdots h'_{\theta-1}h'_{\theta+1}\cdots h'_m \in \mathfrak{L}(V)$ is clear by induction hypotheses. Then $h'_ih'_1\cdots h'_{i-1}h'_{i+1}\cdots h'_jh'_{j+1}\cdots h'_{\theta-1}h'_{\theta+1}\cdots h'_mh'_\theta \in \mathfrak{L}(V)$ by Lemma 3.2(iii). $h_1h_2\cdots h_m$
$= p_1\cdots p_{m-j+i-1}p'_4h'_ih'_1\cdots h'_{i-1}h'_{i+1}\cdots h'_jh'_{j+1}\cdots h'_{\theta-1}h'_{\theta+1}\cdots h'_mh'_\theta$ for some $p'_4 \in F^*$ by Remark 3.3. It is contradiction. Then $\tilde{p}_{h'_\theta,h'_i} = 1$ for all $\theta \in \{j+1,\ldots,m\}$, we obtain $\tilde{p}_{h'_\theta,h'_{i+1}\cdots h'_j} = 1$ for all $\theta \in \{j+1,\ldots,m\}$ by **Assertion**($\mathbf{j-i}$). We know $h'_1\cdots h'_{i-1}h'_{i+1}\cdots h'_m \in \mathfrak{L}(V)$ by induction hypotheses. If $\tilde{p}_{h'_i,h'_{i+1}\cdots h'_j} \neq 1$, then $h'_ih'_1\cdots h'_{i-1}h'_{i+1}\cdots h'_m \in \mathfrak{L}(V)$ by [WZZ15a, Lemma 4.12], $h_1h_2\cdots h_m = p_1\cdots p_{m-j+i-1}p'_5h'_ih'_1\cdots h'_{i-1}h'_{i+1}\cdots h'_m$ for some $p'_5 \in F^*$ by Remark 3.3. It is a contradiction. Then $\tilde{p}_{h'_i,h'_{i+1}\cdots h'_j} = 1$.

Step 3. We obtain **Assertion**($\mathbf{0}$) by Step 1 and 2. i.e. $\tilde{p}_{h_{\tau^{m-2}(i)},h_r} = 1$ and $\tilde{p}_{h_{\tau^{m-2}(i)},h_r} \neq 1$ for all $r \in A_{ii}$. It is a contradiction.

(iv) We know $d(h_1h_2\cdots h_m)$ is connected by Lemma 3.4(iii). If $h_1\cdots h_{m-1}$ or $h_2\cdots h_m \in \mathfrak{L}(V)$, it is clear. Now we assumed that $h_1\cdots h_{m-1} \notin \mathfrak{L}(V)$ and $h_2\cdots h_m \notin \mathfrak{L}(V)$.

Step 1. Let the largest integer $j-i+1$ such that $h_{\tau_1(i)}h_{\tau_1(i+1)}\cdots h_{\tau_1(j)} \in \mathfrak{L}(V)$ and $h_1h_2\cdots h_{m-1} = p_1h_{\tau_1(1)}h_{\tau_1(2)}\cdots h_{\tau_1(m-1)}$ for some $p_1 \in F^*$, all $\tau_1 \in T_{1,2,\ldots,m-1}$, it is clear

$j-i+1<m-1$.

Assumed there exists $r\in\{i,i+1,\ldots,j\}, t\in\{1,\ldots,i-1,j+1,\ldots,m-1\}$ such that $\tilde{p}_{h_{\tau_1(r)},h_{\tau_1(t)}}\neq 1$.

If $t\leq i-1$, by i_1 we denote the largest integer t, then $\tilde{p}_{h_{\tau_1(r_1)},h_{\tau_1(t_1)}}=1$ for all $r_1\in\{i,i+1,\ldots,j\}, i_1<t_1<i$. We know $h_{\tau_1(i_1+1)}\cdots h_{\tau_1(i-1)}h_{\tau_1(i)}\cdots h_{\tau_1(j)}$
$=p_2h_{\tau_1(i)}\cdots h_{\tau_1(j)}h_{\tau_1(i_1+1)}\cdots h_{\tau_1(i-1)}$ for some $p_2\in F^*$ by Remark 3.3 and $h_{\tau_1(i_1)}h_{\tau_1(i)}\cdots h_{\tau_1(j)}$ $\in\mathfrak{L}(V)$ by (iii), $h_1h_2\cdots h_{m-1}$
$=p_1h_{\tau_1(1)}\cdots h_{\tau_1(i_1)}h_{\tau_1(i_1+1)}\cdots h_{\tau_1(i-1)}h_{\tau_1(i)}\cdots h_{\tau_1(j)}h_{\tau_1(j+1)}\cdots h_{\tau_1(m-1)}$
$=p_1p_2h_{\tau_1(1)}\cdots h_{\tau_1(i_1)}h_{\tau_1(i)}\cdots h_{\tau_1(j)}h_{\tau_1(i_1+1)}\cdots h_{\tau_1(i-1)}h_{\tau_1(j+1)}\cdots h_{\tau_1(m-1)}$. It is a contradiction.

If $t\geq j+1$, by j_1 we denote the smallest integer t, then $\tilde{p}_{h_{\tau_1(r_2)},h_{\tau_1(t_2)}}=1$ for all $r_2\in\{i,i+1,\ldots,j\}, j<t_2<j_1$. We know $h_{\tau_1(i)}\cdots h_{\tau_1(j)}h_{\tau_1(j+1)}\cdots h_{\tau_1(j_1-1)}$
$=p_3h_{\tau_1(j+1)}\cdots h_{\tau_1(j_1-1)}h_{\tau_1(i)}\cdots h_{\tau_1(j)}$ for some $p_3\in F^*$ by Remark 3.3 and $h_{\tau_1(i)}\cdots h_{\tau_1(j)}h_{\tau_1(j_1)}$ $\in\mathfrak{L}(V)$ by (iii), $h_1h_2\cdots h_{m-1}$
$=p_1h_{\tau_1(1)}\cdots h_{\tau_1(i-1)}h_{\tau_1(i)}\cdots h_{\tau_1(j)}h_{\tau_1(j+1)}\cdots h_{\tau_1(j_1-1)}h_{\tau_1(j_1)}\cdots h_{\tau_1(m-1)}$
$=p_1p_3h_{\tau_1(1)}\cdots h_{\tau_1(i-1)}h_{\tau_1(j+1)}\cdots h_{\tau_1(j_1-1)}h_{\tau_1(i)}\cdots h_{\tau_1(j)}h_{\tau_1(j_1)}\cdots h_{\tau_1(m-1)}$. It is a contradiction.

Thus all $r\in\{i,i+1,\ldots,j\}, t\in\{1,\ldots,i-1,j+1,\ldots,m-1\}$ such that $\tilde{p}_{h_{\tau_1(r)},h_{\tau_1(t)}}=1$.

We know $h_1h_2\cdots h_{m-1}$
$=p_1h_{\tau_1(1)}\cdots h_{\tau_1(i-1)}h_{\tau_1(i)}\cdots h_{\tau_1(j)}h_{\tau_1(j+1)}\cdots h_{\tau_1(m-1)}$
$=p_1p_4h_{\tau_1(i)}\cdots h_{\tau_1(j)}h_{\tau_1(1)}\cdots h_{\tau_1(i)}h_{\tau_1(j+1)}\cdots h_{\tau_1(m-1)}$ for some $p_4\in F^*$ by Remark 3.3. Set $\tau_2=\begin{pmatrix} m-1-j+i & \cdots & m-1 & 1 & \cdots & i-1 & i & \cdots & m-2-j+i \\ \tau_1(i) & \cdots & \tau_1(j) & \tau_1(1) & \cdots & \tau_1(i-1) & \tau_1(j+1) & \cdots & \tau_1(m-1)\end{pmatrix}$

We obtain $h_1h_2\cdots h_{m-1}=p_1p_4h_{\tau_2(m-1-j+i)}\cdots h_{\tau_2(m-1)}h_{\tau_2(1)}h_{\tau_2(2)}\cdots h_{\tau_2(m-2-j+i)}$
$:=p_1p_4u_1h_{\tau_2(1)}h_{\tau_2(2)}\cdots h_{\tau_2(m-2-j+i)}$.

Step 2. Let the largest integer j_2-i_2+1 such that $h_{\tau_3\tau_2(i_2)}h_{\tau_3\tau_2(i_2+1)}\cdots h_{\tau_3\tau_2(j_2)}\in\mathfrak{L}(V)$ and $h_{\tau_2(1)}h_{\tau_2(2)}\cdots h_{\tau_2(m-2-j+i)}=p_5h_{\tau_3\tau_2(1)}h_{\tau_3\tau_2(2)}\cdots h_{\tau_3\tau_2(m-2-j+i)}$ for some $p_5\in F^*$, all $\tau_3\in T_{\tau_2(1),\tau_2(2),\ldots,\tau_2(m-2-j+i)}$.

If $j_2-i_2+1=m-2-j+i$, set $u_2:=h_{\tau_2(1)}h_{\tau_2(2)}\cdots h_{\tau_2(m-2-j+i)}$. If $j_2-i_2+1<m-2-j+i$, the method of Step 1 on $h_1h_2\cdots h_{m-1}$ we apply to $h_{\tau_2(1)}h_{\tau_2(2)}\cdots h_{\tau_2(m-2-j+i)}$.

Step by step, we obtain $h_1h_2\cdots h_{m-1}=p_0h_{\tau(1)}h_{\tau(2)}\cdots h_{\tau(m-1)}$

$=p_0(h_{\tau(1)}\cdots h_{\tau(k_1)})(h_{\tau(k_1+1)}\cdots h_{\tau(k_2)})\cdots(h_{\tau(k_r+1)}\cdots h_{\tau(m-1)}):=p_0u_1u_2\cdots u_{r+1}$ for some $p_0\in F^*, \tau\in T_{1,2,\ldots,m-1}, r\geq 1$ and $\tilde{p}_{h_{\tau_{(s)}},h_{\tau_{(t)}}}=1$ for all $h_{\tau_{(s)}}\in d(u_i), h_{\tau_{(t)}}\in d(u_j)$ with all $i\neq j\in\{1,2,\ldots,r+1\}$. We know there exists $h_{\tau_{(s)}}\in d(u_j)$ such that $\tilde{p}_{h_{\tau_{(s)}},h_{\tau_{(m)}}}\neq 1$ for all $j\in\{1,2,\ldots,r+1\}$ since $d(h_1h_2\cdots h_m)$ is connected. Then there exist $\tau^{'}\in T_{\tau(k_1+1),\ldots,\tau(k_2),\ldots,\tau(m)}, p_0^{'}\in F^*$ such that $h_{\tau^{'}\tau(k_1+1)}\cdots h_{\tau^{'}\tau(k_2)}\cdots h_{\tau^{'}\tau(m)}\in\mathfrak{L}(V)$ and $h_{\tau(k_1+1)}\cdots h_{\tau(k_2)}\cdots h_{\tau(m)}=p_0^{'}h_{\tau^{'}\tau(k_1+1)}\cdots h_{\tau^{'}\tau(k_2)}\cdots h_{\tau^{'}\tau(m)}$ by (ii) and (iii). It is clear $h_{\tau^{'}\tau(1)}\cdots h_{\tau^{'}\tau(2)}\cdots h_{\tau^{'}\tau(k_1)}=h_{\tau(1)}\cdots h_{\tau(2)}\cdots h_{\tau(k_1)}$.

We know there exists $\tau^{''}\in T_{\tau^{'}\tau(1),\tau^{'}\tau(2),\ldots,\tau^{'}\tau(m)}, p_0^{''}\in F^*$ such that $h_{\tau^{'}\tau(1)}h_{\tau^{'}\tau(2)}\cdots h_{\tau^{'}\tau(m)}$

$= p_0^{''} h_{\tau^{''}\tau^{'}\tau(1)} h_{\tau^{''}\tau^{'}\tau(2)} \cdots h_{\tau^{''}\tau^{'}\tau(m)}$ with $h_{\tau^{''}\tau^{'}\tau(1)} h_{\tau^{''}\tau^{'}\tau(2)} \cdots h_{\tau^{''}\tau^{'}\tau(m-1)} \in \mathfrak{L}(V)$, $\tilde{p}_{h_{\tau^{''}\tau^{'}\tau_{(k)}}, h_{\tau^{''}\tau^{'}\tau_{(m)}}} \neq 1$ for some $k \in \{1, \ldots, m-1\}$ or with $h_{\tau^{''}\tau^{'}\tau(2)} h_{\tau^{''}\tau^{'}\tau(3)} \cdots h_{\tau^{''}\tau^{'}\tau(m)} \in \mathfrak{L}(V), \tilde{p}_{h_{\tau^{''}\tau^{'}\tau_{(l)}}, h_{\tau^{''}\tau^{'}\tau_{(1)}}} \neq 1$ for some $l \in \{2, \ldots, m\}$ by (ii). Then $h_1 h_2 \cdots h_m$ $= p_0 h_{\tau(1)} h_{\tau(2)} \cdots h_{\tau(m)} = p_0 p_0^{'} h_{\tau^{'}\tau(1)} h_{\tau^{'}\tau(2)} \cdots h_{\tau^{'}\tau(m)} = p_0 p_0^{'} p_0^{''} h_{\tau^{''}\tau^{'}\tau(1)} h_{\tau^{''}\tau^{'}\tau(2)} \cdots h_{\tau^{''}\tau^{'}\tau(m)}$. It is proved. □

Proposition 3.7. *If $h_1 h_2 \cdots h_m \neq 0$. Then $h_1 h_2 \cdots h_m \in \mathfrak{L}(V)$ if and only if $d(h_1 h_2 \cdots h_m)$ is connected.*

Proof. The sufficiency is clear by Lemma 3.4. The necessity. We show this by induction on m. If $d(h_1 \cdots h_{m-1})$ or $d(h_2 \cdots h_m)$ is connected, then $h_1 \cdots h_{m-1} \in \mathfrak{L}(V)$ or $h_2 \cdots h_m \in \mathfrak{L}(V)$ by induction hypotheses. We know $\exists 1 \leq k \leq m-1$ such that $\tilde{p}_{h_k, h_m} \neq 1$ or $\exists 2 \leq l \leq m$ such that $\tilde{p}_{h_l, h_1} \neq 1$ since $d(h_1 h_2 \cdots h_m)$ is connected. Then $h_1 h_2 \cdots h_m \in \mathfrak{L}(V)$ by Proposition 3.6(iii). If $d(h_1 \cdots h_{m-1})$ and $d(h_2 \cdots h_m)$ is not connected, then $h_1 \cdots h_{m-1} \notin \mathfrak{L}(V)$ and $h_2 \cdots h_m \notin \mathfrak{L}(V)$ by induction hypotheses. Similar to the proof of Proposition 3.6(iv), we obtain $h_{\tau^{''}\tau^{'}\tau(1)} h_{\tau^{''}\tau^{'}\tau(2)} \cdots h_{\tau^{''}\tau^{'}\tau(m-1)} \in \mathfrak{L}(V)$ or $h_{\tau^{''}\tau^{'}\tau(2)} h_{\tau^{''}\tau^{'}\tau(3)} \cdots h_{\tau^{''}\tau^{'}\tau(m)} \in \mathfrak{L}(V)$, $h_1 h_2 \cdots h_m = p_0 p_0^{'} p_0^{''} h_{\tau^{''}\tau^{'}\tau(1)} h_{\tau^{''}\tau^{'}\tau(2)} \cdots h_{\tau^{''}\tau^{'}\tau(m)}$ and $\tilde{p}_{h_{\tau^{''}\tau^{'}\tau_{(k)}}, h_{\tau^{''}\tau^{'}\tau_{(m)}}} \neq 1$ for some $k \in \{1, \ldots, m-1\}$ or $\tilde{p}_{h_{\tau^{''}\tau^{'}\tau_{(l)}}, h_{\tau^{''}\tau^{'}\tau_{(1)}}} \neq 1$ for some $l \in \{2, \ldots, m\}$, where $p_0^{''} \in F^*, \tau^{''} \in T_{\tau^{'}\tau(1), \tau^{'}\tau(2), \ldots, \tau^{'}\tau(m)}$. It is proved by Proposition 3.6(iii). □

Corollary 3.8. *If $u \neq 0$ is homogeneous elements in $\mathfrak{B}(V)$, Then $d(u)$ is connect if and only if $u \in \mathfrak{L}(V)$.*

Remark 3.9. *$u \neq 0$ in Corollary 3.8 was necessary. If $p_{x_i, x_j} p_{x_j, x_i} = 1, i \neq j$, then $x_i x_j \notin \mathfrak{L}(V)$ and $x_j x_i \notin \mathfrak{L}(V)$, but $x_i x_j - p_{x_i, x_j} x_j x_i = 0 \in \mathfrak{L}(V)$.*

Corollary 3.10. *If $0 \neq u = \sum_i u_i \in \mathfrak{B}(V), \deg(u_i) \neq \deg(u_j)$ if $i \neq j$, Then $d(u_i)$ for $\forall i$ is connect if and only if $u \in \mathfrak{L}(V)$.*

Proof. The necessity. If $\exists u_i \neq 0$ and $d(u_i)$ is not connect,then $u_i \notin \mathfrak{L}(V)$ by Lemma 3.4(iii), moreover, if $w \in \mathfrak{L}(V)$ and $\deg(u_i) = \deg(w)$, then $w = 0$ by Lemma 3.4(i). We know $\deg(u_i) \neq \deg(u_j)$ if $i \neq j$, then $u = u_i + \sum_{j \neq i} u_i \notin \mathfrak{L}(V)$, it is a contradiction. □

Corollary 3.11. *If $\mathfrak{B}(V)$ is connected Nichols algebra of diagonal type with $\dim V = 2$ and $\Delta(\mathfrak{B}(V))$ is an arithmetic root system, then $\mathfrak{B}(V) = F \oplus \mathfrak{L}(V)$. In particular, set $N := ord(q)$, for (i). A_2, i.e. $p_{11} = p_{22} = q, p_{12} p_{21} = q^{-1}$, $\dim(\mathfrak{L}(V)) = N^3 - 1$; for (ii). B_2, i.e. $p_{11} = q^2, p_{22} = q, p_{12} p_{21} = q^{-2}$, $\dim(\mathfrak{L}(V)) = N^4 - 1$ if N is a odd, $\dim(\mathfrak{L}(V)) = \frac{N^4}{4} - 1$ if N is a even; for (iii). C_2, i.e. $p_{11} = q, p_{22} = q^2, p_{12} p_{21} = q^{-2}$, $\dim(\mathfrak{L}(V)) = N^4 - 1$ if N is a odd, $\dim(\mathfrak{L}(V)) = \frac{N^4}{4} - 1$ if N is a even; for (iv). G_2, i.e. $p_{11} = q, p_{22} = q^3, p_{12} p_{21} = q^{-3}$, $\dim(\mathfrak{L}(V)) = N^6 - 1$ if 3 does not divide N, $\dim(\mathfrak{L}(V)) = \frac{N^6}{27} - 1$ if 3 does divide N.*

Corollary 3.12. *If $u \neq 0$ and $v \neq 0$ are homogeneous elements in $\mathfrak{L}(V)$, Then $\exists x_i \in d(u), x_j \in d(v)$ such that $p_{ij}p_{ji} \neq 1$ if and only if $uv, vu \in \mathfrak{L}(V)$.*

Theorem 3.13. *The set $\{[u_1]^{k_1}[u_2]^{k_2}\cdots[u_s]^{k_s} \mid [u_i] \in D, k_i, s \in \mathbb{N}_0; 0 \leq k_i < h_{u_i}; 1 \leq i \leq s; u_s < u_{s-1} < \cdots < u_1, d([u_1]^{k_1}[u_2]^{k_2}\cdots[u_s]^{k_s})$ is connect , $\sum_{i=1}^{s} k_i > 0\}$ is a basis of $\mathfrak{L}(V)$ while $\mathfrak{B}(V)$ is Nichols algebra of diagonal type with $\dim V \geq 2$.*

Assumed that $\mathfrak{B}(V)$ is connected Nichols algebra of diagonal type with $\dim V > 2$ and $\Delta(\mathfrak{B}(V))$ is an arithmetic root system. The generalized Dynkin diagram corresponding to $\{x_1, x_2, \ldots, x_n\}$ determine a unique Nichols algebras ,up to isomorphism ,by [He05, Prop 2.6.1] and [AS02, Prop 3.9]. Then Sub-diagram depends on $\{x_i, x_{i+1}, \ldots, x_j\}, i \leq j$ determine a Sub-Nichols algebras $\mathfrak{B}(V)_{i,j}$. $\mathfrak{L}(V)_{i,j}$ denote the braided Lie algebras generated by $\{i, i+1, \ldots, j\}$ in $\mathfrak{B}(V)_{i,j}$ under Lie operations $[x, y] = yx - p_{yx}xy$, for any homogeneous elements $x, y \in \mathfrak{B}(V)_{i,j}$. Let $\mathfrak{B}(V)^*_{i,j} = \{x \mid x \in \mathfrak{B}(V)_{i,j}, x \notin F\}$. Denote $L_{i,j} := \dim(\mathfrak{L}(V)_{i,j}), B_{i,j} := \dim(\mathfrak{B}(V)^*_{i,j}) = \dim(\mathfrak{B}(V)_{i,j}) - 1$. It is clear $\mathfrak{B}(V) = \mathfrak{B}(V)^*_{1,n} + F$. Set $L_{i,j} := 0, B_{i,j} := 0$ while $i > j$. $C^n_m = 0$ while $n > m$.

Proposition 3.14. *Assumed that $\mathfrak{B}(V)$ is connected Nichols algebra of diagonal type with $\dim V > 2$ and $\Delta(\mathfrak{B}(V))$ is an arithmetic root system. The following hold.*

(i) If the corresponding generalized Dynkin diagram (omit fixed parameter) is



then $L_{i,j} = B_{i,j} - \sum_{k=i}^{j-2} L_{i,k}B_{k+2,j} + \sum_{k=i}^{j-3} L_{i,k}B_{k+3,j}$

$= B_{i,j} - \sum_{k=i}^{j-2} B_{i,k}L_{k+2,j} + \sum_{k=i}^{j-3} B_{i,k}L_{k+3,j}$ *for all* $1 \leq i \leq j \leq n$. *In particular,* $L_{1,n} = B_{1,n} - \sum_{i=1}^{n-3} L_{1,i}(B_{i+2,n} - B_{i+3,n}) - L_{1,n-2}B_{n,n}$.

(ii) If the corresponding generalized Dynkin diagram (omit fixed parameter) is



then $L_{1,n} = B_{1,n} - L_{1,n-3}B_{n-1,n} - \sum_{i=1}^{n-4} L_{1,i}(B_{i+2,n} - B_{i+3,n}) - B_{n-1,n-1}B_{n,n}$.

Proof. (i) If u is a basis of $\mathfrak{B}(V)$ and $d(u)$ is not connect, then u exists in some Nichols algebras corresponding to the following generalized Dynkin diagram(omit fixed parameter):





We know $\mathfrak{B}(V)^*_{1,i-1} \subseteq \mathfrak{B}(V)^*_{1,i}, \mathfrak{B}(V)^*_{i+2,n} \subseteq \mathfrak{B}(V)^*_{i+1,n}$ for all $i \in \{2,\dots,n-2\}$. If there exists $u \in \mathfrak{B}(V)^*_{1,i} - \mathfrak{B}(V)^*_{1,i-1}$ and $u \notin \mathfrak{L}(V)_{1,i} - \mathfrak{L}(V)_{1,i-1}$, then u is not connect already. Thus we use $(L_{1,i} - L_{1,i-1})B_{i+2,n}$ instead of $(B_{1,i} - B_{1,i-1})B_{i+2,n}$ for all $i \in \{2,\dots,n-2\}$. We have 2 choice for $\forall 1 \le i \le j \le n$.

$$\begin{aligned}
L_{i,j} &= B_{i,j} - (L_{i,i}B_{i+2,j} + (L_{i,i+1} - L_{i,i})B_{i+3,j} + \cdots + (L_{i,j-2} - L_{i,j-3})B_{j,j}) \\
&= B_{i,j} - \sum_{k=i}^{j-3} L_{i,k}(B_{k+2,j} - B_{k+3,j}) - L_{i,j-2}B_{j,j} \\
&= B_{i,j} - \sum_{k=i}^{j-2} L_{i,k}B_{k+2,j} + \sum_{k=i}^{j-3} L_{i,k}B_{k+3,j}. \\
L'_{i,j} &= B_{i,j} - (B_{i,i}(L'_{i+2,j} - L'_{i+3,j}) + \cdots + B_{i,j-3}(L'_{j-1,j} - L'_{j,j}) + B_{i,j-2}L'_{j,j}) \\
&= B_{i,j} - B_{i,i}L'_{i+2,j} - \sum_{k=i}^{j-3}(B_{i,k+1} - B_{i,k})L'_{k+3,j} \\
&= B_{i,j} - \sum_{k=i}^{j-2} B_{i,k}L'_{k+2,j} + \sum_{k=i}^{j-3} B_{i,k}L'_{k+3,j}.
\end{aligned}$$

We prove $L_{i,j} = L'_{i,j}$ by induction on $r = j - i$. If $r = 2$, $L_{i,j} = B_{i,j} - L_{i,i}B_{i+2,j}, L'_{i,j} = B_{i,j} - B_{i,i}L'_{i+2,j}$. We know $L_{i,j} = B_{i,j}, L'_{i,j} = B_{i,j}$ if $r = 0$ or 1 and $p_{ij}p_{ji} \neq 1$ by Corollary 3.11, then $L_{i,j} = L'_{i,j} = B_{i,j} - B_{i,i}B_{i+2,j}$. Assumed that $L_{i,j} = L'_{i,j}$ hold for all r, now we consider the case of $r + 1$.

$$\begin{aligned}
L'_{i,j} &= B_{i,j} - \sum_{k=i}^{j-2} B_{i,k}L'_{k+2,j} + \sum_{k=i}^{j-3} B_{i,k}L'_{k+3,j} \longrightarrow {\scriptstyle L_{k+2,j} = L'_{k+2,j}, L_{k+3,j} = L'_{k+3,j}} \longrightarrow \\
&= B_{i,j} - \sum_{k=i}^{j-2} B_{i,k}(B_{k+2,j} - \sum_{k_1=k+2}^{j-2} L_{k+2,k_1}B_{k_1+2,j} + \sum_{k_1=k+2}^{j-3} L_{k+2,k_1}B_{k_1+3,j}) \\
&\qquad + \sum_{k=i}^{j-3} B_{i,k}(B_{k+3,j} - \sum_{k_1=k+3}^{j-2} L_{k+3,k_1}B_{k_1+2,j} + \sum_{k_1=k+3}^{j-3} L_{k+3,k_1}B_{k_1+3,j}) \\
&= B_{i,j} - \sum_{k=i}^{j-2} B_{i,k}B_{k+2,j} + \sum_{k=i}^{j-2} B_{i,k} \sum_{k_1=k+2}^{j-2} L_{k+2,k_1}B_{k_1+2,j} - \sum_{k=i}^{j-2} B_{i,k} \sum_{k_1=k+2}^{j-3} L_{k+2,k_1}B_{k_1+3,j} \\
&\qquad + \sum_{k=i}^{j-3} B_{i,k}B_{k+3,j} - \sum_{k=i}^{j-3} B_{i,k} \sum_{k_1=k+3}^{j-2} L_{k+3,k_1}B_{k_1+2,j} + \sum_{k=i}^{j-3} B_{i,k} \sum_{k_1=k+3}^{j-3} L_{k+3,k_1}B_{k_1+3,j} \\
&= (B_{i,j} - \sum_{k=i}^{j-2} B_{i,k}B_{k+2,j} + \sum_{k=i}^{j-3} B_{i,k}B_{k+3,j}) + (\sum_{k=i}^{j-2} B_{i,k} \sum_{k_1=k+2}^{j-2} L_{k+2,k_1}B_{k_1+2,j}) - (\sum_{k=i}^{j-2} B_{i,k} \\
&\cdot \sum_{k_1=k+2}^{j-3} L_{k+2,k_1}B_{k_1+3,j}) - (\sum_{k=i}^{j-3} B_{i,k} \sum_{k_1=k+3}^{j-2} L_{k+3,k_1}B_{k_1+2,j}) + (\sum_{k=i}^{j-3} B_{i,k} \sum_{k_1=k+3}^{j-3} L_{k+3,k_1}B_{k_1+3,j}) \\
&:= (1') + (2') - (3') - (4') + (5').
\end{aligned}$$

On the other hand,

$$L_{i,j} = B_{i,j} - \sum_{k=i}^{j-2} L_{i,k}B_{k+2,j} + \sum_{k=i}^{j-3} L_{i,k}B_{k+3,j} \longrightarrow {\scriptstyle L_{i,k} = L'_{i,k}} \longrightarrow$$

$$= B_{i,j} - \sum_{k=i}^{j-2}(B_{i,k} - \sum_{k_1=i}^{k-2} B_{i,k_1}L'_{k_1+2,k} + \sum_{k_1=i}^{k-3} B_{i,k1}L'_{k_1+3,k})B_{k+2,j}$$
$$+ \sum_{k=i}^{j-3}(B_{i,k} - \sum_{k_1=i}^{k-2} B_{i,k_1}L'_{k_1+2,k} + \sum_{k_1=i}^{k-3} B_{i,k1}L'_{k_1+3,k})B_{k+3,j}$$
$$= (B_{i,j} - \sum_{k=i}^{j-2} B_{i,k}B_{k+2,j} + \sum_{k=i}^{j-3} B_{i,k}B_{k+3,j}) + (\sum_{k=i}^{j-2}\sum_{k_1=i}^{k-2} B_{i,k_1}L_{k_1+2,k}B_{k+2,j}) - (\sum_{k=i}^{j-2}\sum_{k_1=i}^{k-3} B_{i,k1}\cdot$$
$$L_{k_1+3,k}B_{k+2,j}) - (\sum_{k=i}^{j-3}\sum_{k_1=i}^{k-2} B_{i,k_1}L_{k_1+2,k}B_{k+3,j}) + (\sum_{k=i}^{j-3}\sum_{k_1=i}^{k-3} B_{i,k1}L'_{k_1+3,k}B_{k+3,j})$$
$$:= (1) + (2) - (3) - (4) + (5).$$

$$2 = \sum_{k=i}^{j-2}\sum_{k_1=i}^{k-2} B_{i,k_1}L_{k_1+2,k}B_{k+2,j}$$
$$= \sum_{k=i}^{j-2}(B_{i,i}L_{i+2,k}B_{k+2,j} + \cdots + B_{i,k-2}L_{k,k}B_{k+2,j})$$
$$= B_{i,i}L_{i+2,i+2}B_{i+4,j} + (B_{i,i}L_{i+2,i+3}B_{i+5,j} + B_{i,i+1}L_{i+3,i+3}B_{i+5,j})$$
$$+ \cdots + (B_{i,i}L_{i+2,j-2}B_{j,j} + B_{i,i+1}L_{i+3,j-2}B_{j,j} + \cdots + B_{i,j-4}L_{j-2,j-2}B_{j,j})$$
$$= B_{i,i}\sum_{k_1=i+2}^{j-2} L_{i+2,k_1}B_{k_1+2,j} + B_{i,i+1}\sum_{k_1=i+3}^{j-2} L_{i+3,k_1}B_{k_1+2,j} + \cdots + B_{i,j-4}L_{j-2,j-2}B_{j,j})$$
$$= \sum_{k=i}^{j-4} B_{i,k}\sum_{k_1=k+2}^{j-2} L_{k+2,k_1}B_{k_1+2,j}$$
$$= \sum_{k=i}^{j-2} B_{i,k}\sum_{k_1=k+2}^{j-2} L_{k+2,k_1}B_{k_1+2,j} = 2'.$$

Similarly, $3 = 4', 4 = 3', 5 = 5'$. It is proved.

(ii) $L_{1,n} = B_{1,n} - (L_{1,1}B_{3,n} + (L_{1,2} - L_{1,1})B_{4,n} + \cdots + (L_{1,n-4} - L_{1,n-5})B_{n-2,n} + (L_{1,n-3} - L_{1,n-4})B_{n-1,n-1} + (L_{1,n-3} - L_{1,n-4})B_{n,n} + (L_{1,n-3} - L_{1,n-4})B_{n-1,n-1}B_{n,n} + B_{n-1,n-1}B_{n,n})$

$$= B_{1,n} - \sum_{i=1}^{n-5} L_{1,i}(B_{i+2,n} - B_{i+3,n}) - L_{1,n-4}B_{n-2,n} - (L_{1,n-3} - L_{1,n-4})(B_{n-1,n-1} + B_{n,n} + B_{n-1,n-1}B_{n,n}) - B_{n-1,n-1}B_{n,n}$$
$$= B_{1,n} - L_{1,n-3}B_{n-1,n} - \sum_{i=1}^{n-4} L_{1,i}(B_{i+2,n} - B_{i+3,n}) - B_{n-1,n-1}B_{n,n}.$$

□

Now we give the dimensions of $\mathfrak{L}(V)$ if V is a connected finite Cartan type with Cartan matrix $(a_{ij})_{n\times n}$. Denote that $N := ord(q)$.

Example 3.15. *For* F_4. 

$, q \in F^*/\{1, -1\}$.

If N is a odd, $\dim \mathfrak{L}(V) = N^{24} - 1 - (N-1)(N^3-1) - (N^3-N)(N-1)$;
If N is a even, $\dim \mathfrak{L}(V) = \frac{N^{24}}{2^{12}} - 1 - (\frac{N}{2} - 1)(N^3-1) - ((\frac{N}{2})^3 - \frac{N}{2})(N-1)$.

Proof. We know $d(uv) = d(u) \cup d(v)$. If $u \in D$, then $d(u)$ is connected by Corollary 3.8. Consider $u, v \in D, u < v$, $d(uv)$ is not connect if and only if the two conditions:



$d(u) = \{x_1\}, d(v) \subseteq \{\{x_3\}, \{x_3, x_4\}, \{x_4\}\}$ and $d(u) \subseteq \{\{x_1\}, \{x_1, x_2\}, \{x_2\}\}, d(v) = \{x_4\}$. Situation $d(u) = \{x_1\}, d(v) = \{x_4\}$ appeared twice. We know $p_{u,u} = q^2, p_{v,v} = q$. If N is a odd, $\dim \mathfrak{L}(V) = N^{24} - 1 - (N-1)(N^3-1) - (N^3-1)(N-1) + (N-1)(N-1) = N^{24} - 1 - (N-1)(N^3-1) - (N^3-N)(N-1)$, the other is clear. □

Example 3.16. *Denote* $a_k^{n,N} := \sum_{n_k=2(j-k)+1}^{n_{k-1}-2} (N^{C^2_{n_{k-1}-n_k}} - N^{C^2_{n_{k-1}-n_k-1}})$ *for all* $k \in \{1, \ldots, j\}$, *where* $n_0 := n$.

(i) For A_n, $n > 2$: 

$, q \in F^*/\{1\}$.

$\dim \mathfrak{L}(V) = \sum_{j=0}^{int(\frac{n-1}{2})} a_1^{n,N} a_2^{n,N} \cdots a_j^{n,N} (-1)^j (N^{C^2_{n_j+1}} - 1)$.

(ii) For B_n, $n > 2$:

$, q \in F^*/\{1, -1\}$.

If N is a odd, $\dim \mathfrak{L}(V) = N^{n^2} - 1 - \sum_{i=1}^{n-2} \sum_{j=0}^{int(\frac{i-1}{2})} a_1^{i,N} a_2^{i,N} \cdots a_j^{i,N} (-1)^j (N^{C^2_{i_j+1}} - 1)(N^{(n-i-1)^2} - N^{(n-i-2)^2})$;

If N is a even, $\dim \mathfrak{L}(V) = \frac{N^{n^2}}{2^{n(n-1)}} - 1 - \sum_{i=1}^{n-2} \sum_{j=0}^{int(\frac{i-1}{2})} a_1^{i,\frac{N}{2}} a_2^{i,\frac{N}{2}} \cdots a_j^{i,\frac{N}{2}} (-1)^j ((\frac{N}{2})^{C^2_{i_j+1}} - 1)(\frac{N^{(n-i-1)^2}}{2^{(n-i-1)(n-i-2)}} - \frac{N^{(n-i-2)^2}}{2^{(n-i-2)(n-i-3)}})$.

(iii) For C_n, $n > 2$:

$, q \in F^*/\{1, -1\}$.

If N is a odd, $\dim \mathfrak{L}(V) = N^{n^2} - 1 - \sum_{i=1}^{n-2} \sum_{j=0}^{int(\frac{i-1}{2})} a_1^{i,N} a_2^{i,N} \cdots a_j^{i,N} (-1)^j (N^{C^2_{i_j+1}} - 1)(N^{(n-i-1)^2} - N^{(n-i-2)^2})$;

If N is a even, $\dim \mathfrak{L}(V) = \frac{N^{n^2}}{2^n} - 1 - \sum_{i=1}^{n-2} \sum_{j=0}^{int(\frac{i-1}{2})} a_1^{i,N} a_2^{i,N} \cdots a_j^{i,N} (-1)^j (N^{C^2_{i_j+1}} - 1)(\frac{N^{(n-i-1)^2}}{2^{n-i-1}} - \frac{N^{(n-i-2)^2}}{2^{n-i-2}})$.

(iv) For D_n, $n > 2$: 

$, q \in F^*/\{1\}$.

$\dim \mathfrak{L}(V) = N^{n^2-n} - 1 - \sum_{i=1}^{n-3} \sum_{j=0}^{int(\frac{i-1}{2})} a_1^{i,N} a_2^{i,N} \cdots a_j^{i,N} (-1)^j (N^{C^2_{i_j+1}} - 1)(N^{(n-i-1)(n-i-2)} - N^{(n-i-2)(n-i-3)}) - (N-1)^2$.

Proof. (i) $L_{1,n} = B_{1,n} - L_{1,n-2} B_{n,n} - \sum_{n_1=1}^{n-3} L_{1,n_1} (B_{n_1+2,n} - B_{n_1+3,n})$

$= N^{C^2_{n+1}} - 1 - \sum_{n_1=1}^{n-2} L_{1,n_1} (N^{C^2_{n-n_1}} - N^{C^2_{n-n_1-1}})$

$= N^{C^2_{n+1}} - 1 + \sum_{j=1}^{int(\frac{i-1}{2})} (\sum_{n_1=2(j-1)+1}^{n-2} (N^{C^2_{n-n_1}} - N^{C^2_{n-n_1-1}})(\sum_{n_2=2(j-2)+1}^{n_1-2} (N^{C^2_{n_1-n_2}} - N^{C^2_{n_1-n_2-1}})$

$\cdots (\sum_{n_j=1}^{n_{j-1}-2} (N^{C^2_{n_{j-1}-n_j}} - N^{C^2_{n_{j-1}-n_j-1}})(-1)^j (N^{C^2_{n_j+1}} - 1)) \cdots))$

$= \sum_{j=0}^{int(\frac{n-1}{2})} a_1^{n,N} a_2^{n,N} \cdots a_j^{n,N} (-1)^j (N^{C^2_{n_j+1}} - 1)$ by Proposition 3.14.

(ii) , (iii) is similar to (i).

(iv) $L_{1,n} = B_{1,n} - L_{1,n-3} B_{n-1,n} - \sum_{i=1}^{n-4} L_{1,i} (B_{i+2,n} - B_{i+3,n}) - B_{n-1,n-1} B_{n,n}$

$= B_{1,n} - L_{1,n-3}(N^2 - 1) - \sum_{i=1}^{n-4} L_{1,i} (N^{(n-i-1)(n-i-2)} - N^{(n-i-2)(n-i-3)}) - (N-1)^2$

$= B_{1,n} - \sum_{i=1}^{n-3} L_{1,i} (N^{(n-i-1)(n-i-2)} - N^{(n-i-2)(n-i-3)}) - (N-1)^2$

$= N^{n^2-n} - 1 - \sum_{i=1}^{n-3} \sum_{j=0}^{int(\frac{i-1}{2})} a_1^{i,N} a_2^{i,N} \cdots a_j^{i,N} (-1)^j (N^{C^2_{i_j+1}} - 1)(N^{(n-i-1)(n-i-2)} - N^{(n-i-2)(n-i-3)}) -$

$(N-1)^2$ by Proposition 3.14. □

Example 3.17. *(i) For E_6:*



$, q \in F^*/\{1\}.$

$\dim \mathfrak{L}(V) = N^{36} - 1 - (N-1)(N^{10}-1) - (N^3-1)(N^4-1) - (N-1)(N^3-1) - (N^{10} - 1)(N-1) + (N-1)(N^4-1) + (N-1)N^3(N-1) + (N^4-1)(N-1) - (N-1)N(N-1).$

(ii) For E_7:



$, q \in F^*/\{1\}.$

$\dim \mathfrak{L}(V) = N^{63} - 1 - (N-1)(N^{20}-1) - (N^3-1)(N^{10}-1) - (N^6-1)(N^4-1) - (N-1)(N^3-1) - (N^{15}-1)(N-1) + (N-1)(N^{10}-1) + (N-1)N(N^4-1) + (N-1)N^6(N-1) + (N^3-1)(N^4-1) + (N^3-1)N^3(N-1) + (N^7-1)(N-1) - (N-1)(N^4-1) - (N-1)N^3(N-1) - (N-1)N^2(N-1) - (N^3-1)N(N-1) + (N-1)N(N-1).$

(ii) For E_8:



$, q \in F^*/\{1\}.$

$\dim \mathfrak{L}(V) = N^{120} - 1 - (N-1)(N^{36}-1) - (N^3-1)(N^{20}-1) - (N^6-1)(N^{10}-1) - (N^{10}-1)(N^4-1) - (N-1)(N^3-1) - (N^{21}-1)(N-1) + (N-1)(N^{20}-1) + (N-1)N(N^{10}-1) + (N-1)N^3(N^4-1) + (N-1)N^{10}(N-1) + (N^3-1)(N^{10}-1) + (N^3-1)N(N^4-1) + (N^3-1)N^6(N-1) + (N^6-1)(N^4-1) + (N^6-1)N^3(N-1) + (N^{11}-1)(N-1) - (N-1)(N^{10}-1) - (N-1)N(N^4-1) - (N-1)N^6(N-1) - (N-1)N(N^4-1) - (N-1)N^4(N-1) - (N-1)N^4(N-1) - (N^3-1)(N^4-1) - (N^3-1)N^3(N-1) -$

$(N^3-1)N^2(N-1)-(N^6-1)N(N-1)+(N-1)(N^4-1)+(N-1)N^3(N-1)+(N-1)N^2(N-1)+(N-1)N^2(N-1)+(N^3-1)N(N-1)-(N-1)N(N-1)$.

Proof. (ii). See the following Sub-diagram:



We know $\dim \mathfrak{L}(V) = B_{1,7} - (1) - (2) - (3) - (4) + (12) + (13) + (14) + (23) + (24) + (34) - (123) - (124) - (134) - (234) + (1234)$

$= B_{1,7} - B_{1,1}B_{3,7} - B_{1,2}B_{4,7} - B_{1,3}((B_{7,7}+1)(B_{5,6}+1)-1) - B_{7,7}B_{5,6} - B_{12347}B_{6,6} + B_{1,1}B_{4,7} + B_{1,1}(B_{3,3}+1)((B_{7,7}+1)(B_{5,6}+1)-1) + B_{1,1}(B_{347}+1)B_{6,6} + B_{1,2}((B_{7,7}+1)(B_{5,6}+1)-1) + B_{1,2}(B_{47}+1)B_{6,6} + ((B_{1,3}+1)(B_{7,7}+1)-1)B_{6,6} - B_{1,1}((B_{7,7}+1)(B_{5,6}+1)-1) - B_{1,1}(B_{47}+1)B_{6,6} - B_{1,2}((B_{3,3}+1)(B_{7,7}+1))B_{6,6} - B_{1,2}(B_{7,7}+1)B_{6,6} + B_{1,1}(B_{7,7}+1)B_{6,6}$. The definition of B_{12347} is similar to $B_{1,7}$, only need to change $\{x_1, x_2, \ldots, x_7\}$ to $\{x_1, x_2, x_3, x_4, x_7\}$.

(i) and (iii) are slmilar to (ii). □

Acknowledgement

We would like to thank Prof. Shouchuan Zhang for pointing out two errors in the previous version, which has led to the current substantially modified version.

References

[AS02] N. Andruskiewitsch, H.-J. Schneider, Pointed Hopf algebras. In New Directions in Hopf Algebras, vol.43 ser MSRI Publications. Cambridge University Press(2002).

[AS10] N. Andruskiewitsch, H.-J. Schneider, On the classification of finite-dimensional pointed Hopf algebras, Ann. Math. **171** (2010), 375-417.

[AHS08] N. Andruskiewitsch, I. Heckenberger and H.J. Schneider, The Nichols algebra of a semisimple Yetter-Drinfeld module, Amer. J. Math. **132** (2010), 1493-1547.

[He06a] I. Heckenberger, Classification of arithmetic root systems, Adv. Math. **220** (2009), 59-124.

[He06b] I. Heckenberger, The Weyl-Brandt groupoid of a Nichols algebra of diagonal type, Invent. Math. **164** (2006), 175–188.

[He05] I. Heckenberger, Nichols algebras of diagonal type and arithmetic root systems, Habilitation, 2005.

[Kh99] V. K. Kharchenko, A Quantum analog of the poincaré-Birkhoff-Witt theorem, Algebra and Logic, **38** (1999), 259-276

[WZZ15a] W. Wu, S. Zhang and Y.-Z. Zhang, Relationship between Nichols braided Lie algebras and Nichols algebras, J. Lie Theory **25** (2015), 45-63.

[WZZ15b] W. Wu, S. Zhang and Y.-Z. Zhang, On Nichols (braided) Lie algebras, Int. J. Math. **26** (2015), 1550082.